A Multivariate Linear Regression on the Effect of Foreign Trade on Foreign Exchange Rates of Naira Using Bootstrap Approach

Obiora-Ilouno H. O.^{[a],*} and Mbegbu J. I.^[b]

^[a] Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.

^[b] Department of Mathematics, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.

* Corresponding author.

Address: Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria; E-Mail: obiorailounoho@ yahoo.com

Received: January 3, 2013/ Accepted: March 7, 2013/ Published: May 31, 2013

Abstract: This paper fits a multivariate linear Regression model for the relationship between four response variables; foreign trades (cost of oil imported, cost of non-oil imported, cost of oil exported and cost of non-oil exported) and two predictor variables; foreign exchange rate of US Dollar and Pounds Sterling between 1960–2010. The null hypothesis that no linear relationship exists between foreign trades and foreign exchange rate were proposed. The model indicates an adequate relationship between the foreign trade and exchange rate. We observed from model 1 to model 4 of the multivariate linear regression that the multivariate bootstrap method when compared with the analytic method yielded a reduced standard error. This shows that multivariate bootstrap is good methods in controlling variation among observations. We further observed that the exchange rate of US Dollar and Pounds Sterling contributed 68% effect on the Nigerian foreign trades while 32% may be attributed to other unexplained factors. This indicates that multivariate bootstrap method offer considerable potentials in the estimation of multivariate linear regression parameters. Thus, the results reveal that bootstrap resampling allows empirical assessment of the analytical model and it is a good approximation to the analytical model.

Key words: Multivariate; Bootstrap; Foreign trade; Foreign exchange rate; Linear regression

Obiora-Ilouno H. O., & Mbegbu J. I. (2013). A Multivariate Linear Regression on the Effect of Foreign Trade on Foreign Exchange Rates of Naira Using Bootstrap Approach. Studies in Mathematical Sciences, 6(2), 18–27. Available from http://www.cscanada.net/

index.php/sms/article/view/j.sms.1923845220130602.1988 DOI: 10.3968/j.sms.192384522 0130602.1988

1. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of the foreign exchange in Nigeria was influenced by a number of factors such as the changing pattern of international trade, institutional changes in the economy and structural shifts in production. The increased export of crude oil in the early 1970's, following the sharp rise in its prices, enhanced official foreign exchange receipts. The market witness a boom during this period and the management of foreign exchange resources finds it imperative to ensure that shortage did not arise [7]. Adubi and Okunmadewa [1] carried out a study on price exchange role volatility and Nigeria's Agricultural trade flows. They argued that changes in income earning of export crop producer income as a result of either increase/decrease in international world price of the exports of devaluation of the currency and the subsequent increase in producer prices. Adubi and Okunmadewa [1] said that price/exchange rate changes may lead to major decline in future output if they are unpredictable and erratic.

Johnson and Wichern [4] define multivariate linear regression as modeling the relationship between m responses and a single set of predictor variables, where each response variable is assumed to follow its own linear regression model. Bootstrapping is a resampling technique in which sample of size n are obtained with replacement from the original sample of size n. The basic idea behind bootstrapping is to analyze the population by replacing the unknown distribution function F by the empirical distribution function \hat{F} obtained from the sample (see [2,3,5]).

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

General Form of Multivariate Linear Regression Model

According to Johnson and Wichern [4], multivariate linear regression model defines the relationship between m responses $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_m$ and a single set of r predictors, $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_r$.

$$Y_{1} = \beta_{01} + \beta_{11}Z_{1} + \beta_{21}Z_{2} + \dots + \beta_{r1}Z_{r} + \varepsilon_{1} Y_{2} = \beta_{02} + \beta_{12}Z_{1} + \beta_{22}Z_{2} + \dots + \beta_{r2}Z_{r} + \varepsilon_{2} \vdots \vdots \vdots Y_{m} = \beta_{0m} + \beta_{1m}Z_{1} + \beta_{2m}Z_{2} + \dots + \beta_{rm}Z_{r} + \varepsilon_{r}$$
(2.1)

The expectation and variance of error term ε are

$$E(\varepsilon) = E \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_m \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2$$
 respectively.

Let $[Z_{j0}, Z_{j1}, ..., Z_{jr}]d$ enote the values of the predictor variables for the j^{th} trial. Let $Y_j = [Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, ..., Y_{jm}]'$ be the responses, let $[\varepsilon_{j1}, \varepsilon_{j2}, ..., \varepsilon_{jm}]$ be the errors for j^{th} trial. Thus we have $n \times (r+1)$ design matrix

$$Z_{n\times(r+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{10} & Z_{11} & \cdots & Z_{1r} \\ Z_{20} & Z_{21} & \cdots & Z_{2r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Z_{n0} & Z_{n1} & \cdots & Z_{nr} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.2)

If we set

$$Y_{n \times m} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & \cdots & y_{1m} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & \cdots & y_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{n1} & y_{n2} & \cdots & y_{nm} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{(1)} | Y_{(2)} | \cdots | Y_{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.3)

$$\beta_{(r+1)\times m} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{01} & \beta_{02} & \cdots & \beta_{0m} \\ \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \cdots & \beta_{1m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{r1} & \beta_{r2} & \cdots & \beta_{rm} \end{bmatrix} = [\beta_{(1)}|\beta_{(2)}|\cdots|\beta_{(m)}]$$
(2.4)

$$\varepsilon_{n \times m} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{12} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1m} \\ \varepsilon_{21} & \varepsilon_{22} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{n1} & \varepsilon_{n2} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{nm} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{(1)} | \varepsilon_{(2)} | \cdots | \varepsilon_{(m)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon'_{(1)} \\ \varepsilon'_{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon'_{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.5)

where β is the $((r+1) \times m)$ matrix of parameters. Y is the $(n \times m)$ matrix of the response variables. ε is the $(n \times m)$ matrix of the errors or the residuals. Then, the multivariate linear regression model is

$$Y = Z\beta + \varepsilon \tag{2.6}$$

with $E(\varepsilon_{(i)}) = 0$ and $\operatorname{cov}(\varepsilon_{(i)}, \varepsilon_{(k)}) = \sigma_{ik}I$, $i, k = 1, 2, \cdots m$. Also, the *m* observed responses on the j^{th} trial have covariance matrix

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1m} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \cdots & \sigma_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{m1} & \sigma_{m2} & \cdots & \sigma_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\hat{\beta}_{(i)} = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y_{(i)}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \left[\hat{\beta}_{(1)}|\hat{\beta}_{(2)}|\cdots|\hat{\beta}_{(m)}\right] = \left(Z'Z\right)^{-1}Z'\left[Y_{(1)}|Y_{(2)}|\cdots|Y_{(m)}\right] = \left(Z'Z\right)^{-1}Z'Y \quad (2.7)$$

Now for any choice of parameter $\beta = [b_{(1)}|b_{(2)}|\cdots|b_{(m)}]$, the resulting matrix of errors is $Y - Z\beta$. The resulting error sum of squares and cross-product matrix is

$$(Y-Z\beta)'(Y-Z\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} (Y_{(1)} - Zb_{(1)})'(Y_{(1)} - Zb_{(1)}) \cdots (Y_{(1)} - Zb_{(1)})'(Y_{(1)} - Zb_{(1)}) \\ \vdots \\ (Y_{(m)} - Zb_{(m)})'(Y_{(m)} - Zb_{(m)}) \cdots (Y_{(m)} - Zb_{(m)})'(Y_{(m)} - Zb_{(m)}) \\ (2.8) \end{bmatrix}$$

The selection $b_{(i)} = \hat{\beta}_{(i)}$ minimizes the i^{th} diagonal sum of squares $(Y_{(1)} - Zb_{(1)})'(Y_{(1)} - Zb_{(1)})$. Thus, $tr\left[(Y - Z\beta)'(Y - Z\beta)\right]$ is minimized by $\hat{\beta}$. Also, the var $\left[(Y - Z\beta)'(Y - Z\beta)\right]$ is minimized by the least squares estimate $\hat{\beta}$. Using the least estimates $\hat{\beta}$, we can obtain the matrix of predicted values as,

$$\hat{Y} = Z\hat{\beta} = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y$$
(2.9)

and the matrix of the residuals is (See Johnson and Wichern [4] pp. 314-316)

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = Y - \hat{Y} = \left[1 - Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'\right]Y$$
 (2.10)

Adopting the least squares estimator $\hat{\beta} = [\hat{\beta}_{(1)}|\hat{\beta}_{(2)}|\cdots|\hat{\beta}_{(m)}]$, we obtain the multivariate multiple regression model

$$\hat{Y} = Z \cdot \hat{\beta}^{(b)}$$

$$(n \times m) \quad (n \times (r+1)) \quad ((r+1) \times m)$$

3. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHMS FOR ESTIMATING MUL-TIVARIATE LINEAR REGRESSION PARAMETERS

3.1. The Multivariate Bootstrap Algorithm on the Resampling Observations for Estimating the Multivariate Linear Regression Parameters

Let $W_i = (Y_{ji}, Z_{jr})'$ be the original sample of size n for the resampling, and assume that $W_i s$ are drawn independently and identically from a distribution of F. Let $Y_{ji} = (y_{j1}, y_{j2}, ..., y_{jm})'$ be the $(n \times m)$ matrix of the response variables, $Z_{jr} = (z_{j1}, z_{j2}, ..., z_{jk})'$ be the $(n \times m)$ is the matrix of dimension $n \times (r+1)$ for the predictor variables, where j = 1, 2, ..., n; i = 1, 2, ..., m; and r = 1, 2, ..., k. Let the $(k \times 1) \times 1$ vector denote the $W_i = (Y_{ji}, Z_{jr})'$ values associated with $i^{th}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ observation sets.

Step 1:

Draw bootstrap sample $(w_1^{(b)}, w_2^{(b)}, \dots, w_n^{(b)})$ of size n with replacement from the observation giving n^{-1} probability of each Z_r value being sampled from the population and label the elements of each vector $W_i^{(b)} = \left(Y_{ji}^{(b)}, Z_{jr}^{(b)}\right)'$ where j = $1, 2, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. From the bootstrap Sample, form the vector for the response variable $Y_{ji}^{(b)} = \left(y_{j1}^{(b)}, y_{j2}^{(b)}, \dots, y_{jm}^{(b)}\right)$ and the matrix of the predictor variables $Z_{jr}^{(b)} = \left(z_{j1}^{(b)}, z_{j2}^{(b)}, \dots, z_{jk}^{(b)}\right)$. Step 2:

Calculate the multivariate regression parameters from the bootstrap sample:

$$\hat{\beta} = \left[\hat{\beta}_{(1)}|\hat{\beta}_{(2)}|\cdots|\hat{\beta}_{(m)}\right] = \left(Z'Z\right)^{-1}Z'\left[Y_{(1)}|Y_{(2)}|\cdots|Y_{(m)}\right] = \left(Z'Z\right)^{-1}Z'Y$$

 $\hat{B}_{(i)} = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y_{(i)}$ using the multivariate regression method. Step 3:

Repeat steps 1 and 2 for $v = 1, 2, \dots, B$ say 1000 times, where B is the number of repetition.

Step 4:

Obtain the probability distributions $F\left(\hat{\beta}^{(b)}\right)$ of multivariate bootstrap estimates $\hat{\beta}^{(b1)}, \hat{\beta}^{(b2)}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}^{(bB)}$ and use $F\left(\hat{\beta}^{(b)}\right)$ to estimate the multivariate regression parameters, standard error and confidence interval. The multivariate bootstrap estimates are the means (see [6])

$$\bar{\gamma}\beta^{\left(multi_{(b)}\right)} = \frac{\sum\limits_{\nu=1}^{B}\hat{\beta}^{(b_{\nu})}}{B}$$
(3.1)

Thus, the multivariate bootstrap regression equation is

$$\hat{Y} = Z \cdot \hat{\beta}^{(b)}
(n \times m) \quad (n \times (r+1)) \quad ((r+1) \times m)$$
(3.2)

3.2. Data Presentation

The data obtained from Central Bank of Nigeria Bulletin 2010 edition on exchange of US Dollar and Pounds to Nigeria Naira currency and Nigeria foreign trade from 1960-2010 and shown in the Table 1.

Table 1

| Exchange of US Dollar | r and Pounds | to Nigeria | Naira | Currency | and |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|-------|----------|-----|
| Nigeria Foreign Trade | | _ | | | |

| Years | US Dollar | Pounds | Oil (import) | Non-oil (import) | ${f Oil}\ ({f export})$ | Non-oil (export) |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1960 | 0.7143 | 2 | 26.952 | 404.83 | 8.816 | 330.612 |
| 1961 | 0.7143 | 2 | 31.668 | 413.37 | 23.09 | 324.166 |
| 1962 | 0.7143 | 2 | 32.966 | 373.468 | 34.42 | 302.652 |
| 1963 | 0.7143 | 2 | 37.258 | 377.916 | 40.354 | 338.306 |
| 1964 | 0.7143 | 2 | 46.398 | 461.028 | 64.112 | 365.19 |
| 1965 | 0.7143 | 2 | 47.876 | 502.186 | 136.194 | 400.58 |
| 1966 | 0.7143 | 2 | 22.042 | 490.666 | 183.94 | 384.228 |
| 1967 | 0.7143 | 2 | 23.228 | 417.872 | 144.772 | 340.866 |
| 1968 | 0.7143 | 2 | 39.642 | 345.76 | 73.998 | 348.12 |
| 1969 | 0.7143 | 2 | 42.766 | 454.616 | 261.93 | 374.062 |
| 1970 | 0.7143 | 1.7114 | 38.702 | 717.718 | 509.622 | 376.046 |
| 1971 | 0.6955 | 1.7156 | 50.4 | 1028.5 | 953 | 340.4 |
| 1972 | 0.6579 | 1.6289 | 45.2 | 944.9 | 1176.2 | 258 |
| 1973 | 0.6579 | 1.6289 | 41 | 1183.8 | 1893.5 | 384.9 |
| 1974 | 0.6299 | 1.4795 | 52.4 | 1684.9 | 5365.7 | 429.1 |
| 1975 | 0.6159 | 1.3618 | 118 | 3603.5 | 4563.1 | 362.4 |
| 1976 | 0.6265 | 1.1317 | 95 | 5053.5 | 6321.6 | 429.5 |
| 1977 | 0.6466 | 1.1671 | 102.2 | 6991.5 | 7072.8 | 557.9 |
| 1978 | 0.606 | 1.2238 | 110 | 8101.7 | 5401.6 | 662.8 |
| 1979 | 0.5957 | 1.2628 | 230 | 7242.5 | 10166.8 | 670 |
| 1980 | 0.5464 | 1.2647 | 227.4 | 8868.2 | 13632.3 | 554.4 |

To be continued

| Years 1981 1982 1983 1984 | US Dollar 0.61 0.6729 0.7241 0.7649 | Pounds 1.2495 1.1734 1.1012 | (import) 119.8 225.5 | (import) 12719.8 | (export) | (export) |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| 1982 1983 1984 | $0.6729 \\ 0.7241$ | 1.1734 | | 12719.8 | | |
| 1983 1984 | 0.7241 | | 225 5 | | 10680.5 | 342.8 |
| 1984 | | 1 1010 | 220.0 | 10545 | 8003.2 | 203.2 |
| | 0.7649 | 1.1216 | 171.6 | 8732.1 | 7201.2 | 301.3 |
| | 0.1045 | 1.0765 | 282.4 | 6895.9 | 8840.6 | 247.4 |
| 1985 | 0.8938 | 1.1999 | 51.8 | 7010.8 | 11223.7 | 497.1 |
| 1986 | 2.0206 | 2.5554 | 913.9 | 5069.7 | 8368.5 | 552.1 |
| 1987 | 4.0179 | 6.5929 | 3170.1 | 14691.6 | 28208.6 | 2152 |
| 1988 | 4.5367 | 8.0895 | 3803.1 | 17642.6 | 28435.4 | 2757.4 |
| 1989 | 7.3916 | 12.0695 | 4671.6 | 26188.6 | 55016.8 | 2954.4 |
| 1990 | 8.0378 | 16.2419 | 6073.1 | 39644.8 | 106626.5 | 3259.6 |
| 1991 | 9.9095 | 17.4955 | 7772.2 | 81716 | 116858.1 | 4677.3 |
| 1992 | 17.2984 | 27.8684 | 19561.5 | 123589.7 | 201383.9 | 4227.8 |
| 1993 | 22.0511 | 33.2522 | 41136.1 | 124493.3 | 213778.8 | 4991.3 |
| 1994 | 21.8861 | 33.4252 | 42349.6 | 120439.2 | 200710.2 | 5349 |
| 1995 | 21.8861 | 34.524 | 155825.9 | 599301.8 | 927565.3 | 23096.1 |
| 1996 | 21.8861 | 34.7698 | 162178.7 | 400447.9 | 1286215.9 | 23327.5 |
| 1997 | 21.886 | 36.2166 | 166902.5 | 678814.1 | 1212499.4 | 29163.3 |
| 1998 | 81.0228 | 128.1561 | 175854.2 | 661564.5 | 717786.5 | 34070.2 |
| 1999 | 81.2528 | 126.4165 | 211661.8 | 650853.9 | 1169476.9 | 19492.9 |
| 2000 | 109.55 | 163.0323 | 220817.69 | 764204.7 | 1920900.4 | 24822.9 |
| 2001 | 112.4864 | 161.7534 | 237106.83 | 1121073.5 | 1839945.25 | 28008.6 |
| 2002 | 126.4 | 203.7442 | 361710 | 1150985.33 | 1649445.828 | 94731.85 |
| 2003 | 135.40 | 234.4 | 398922.31 | 1681312.96 | 2993109.95 | 94776.44 |
| 2004 | 132.67 | 249.9925 | 318114.72 | 1668930.55 | 4489472.19 | 113309.4 |
| 2005 | 130.4 | 221.2385 | 797298.94 | 2003557.39 | 7140578.92 | 105955.9 |
| 2006 | 128.27 | 249.3899 | 710683 | 2397836.32 | 7191085.64 | 133595 |
| 2007 | 117.968 | 234.0205 | 768226.84 | 3143725.79 | 8110500.38 | 199257.9 |
| 2008 | 119.7925 | 216.4808 | 1386729.93 | 380372.68 | 9913651.13 | 247839 |
| 2009 | 146 | 231.6438 | 1063544.18 | 4038990.2 | 8067233 | 289152.6 |
| 2010 | 148.455 | 228.6553 | 2073579.03 | 5931795.19 | 10639417.37 | 396377.2 |

Source: Central Bank of Nigeria.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data obtained from Central Bank of Nigeria Bulletin 2011 edition is on the exchange of US Dollar and Pounds to Nigeria Naira currency and Nigeria foreign trade (Oil Import and Export, Non-Oil Import and Export) from 1960-2010.

We intend to obtain the multivariate linear model that describes the relationship between foreign trade and foreign exchange rate of Naira per US Dollar and Pounds Sterling. Let

 $Y_1 = \text{Oil import}$

 $Y_2 =$ Non - oil import

 $Y_3 = \text{Oil export}$

 $Y_4 =$ Non - oil export

 $X_1 = \text{Exchange rate of US Dollar}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} X_2 = \text{Exchange rate of Pounds Sterling} \\ \text{Model 1:} & Y_1 = \beta_{01} + \beta_{11}X_1 + \beta_{21}X_2 \\ \text{Model 2:} & Y_2 = \beta_{02} + \beta_{12}X_1 + \beta_{22}X_2 \\ \text{Model 3:} & Y_3 = \beta_{03} + \beta_{13}X_1 + \beta_{23}X_2 \\ \text{Model 4:} & Y_4 = \beta_{04} + \beta_{14}X_1 + \beta_{24}X_2 \\ \text{We shall look at the adequacy of the models at 5\% level of significance.} \end{array}$

 H_0 : The models are not adequate ($\beta_{ij} = 0$)

 H_1 : The models are adequate $(\beta_{ij} \neq 0)$

The Analytic results of parameter estimation for model 1-4 are shown in Table 2.

Table 2Analytic Estimate of Parameters of Multivariate Regression

| | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 1} \\ \hat{Y}_1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 2} \\ \hat{Y}_2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 3} \\ \hat{Y}_3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 4} \\ \hat{Y}_4 \end{array}$ |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Intercept | -24141.1 | -69236.9 | -162002.1 | -5647.6 |
| X_1 | 6692.8 | 12400.8 | -45664.2 | 600.7 |
| X_2 | -386.7 | 4459.8 | 53673.1 | 384.2 |

For the models 1-4, the values of multiple *R*-square are 0.6257, 0.7126, 0.7535 and 0.6431 respectively. Adjusted *R*-square are 0.6101, 0.7006, 0.7432, and 0.6282 respectively at various *P* values of 5.736×10^{-11} , 1.0101×10^{-13} , 2.541×10^{-15} and 1.823×10^{-11} respectively. Averagely the *R*-square is 0.683725; Adjusted *R*-square is 0.670525 and *P*-value 2.777×10^{-13} . Since the *P*-value is less than 0.05, there is enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the models are adequate. We observed that the exchange rates of US Dollar and Pounds Sterling contributed 68% effect on the Nigerian Foreign Trades.

Furthermore, implementing the proposed multivariate bootstrap (see Appendix) to estimation of the parameters of models 1-4 yields Table 3.

Table 3

Estimation of Parameters of Models 1-4 Using Proposed Multivariate Bootstrap Algorithm

| | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 1} \\ \hat{Y}_1^{(b)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 2} \\ \hat{Y}_2^{(b)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 3} \\ \hat{Y}_3^{(b)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 4} \\ \hat{Y}_4^{(b)} \end{array}$ |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Intercept | -22876.8 | -64926 | -155566 | -5285.8 |
| X_1 | 6292.2 | 11777.5 | -47387 | 517.99 |
| X_2 | -178.49 | 4631.7 | 54485.7 | 420.37 |

The Multivariate regression models obtained from Analytic method (see Table 2) are

Model 1: $Y_1 = -24141.1 + 6692.8X_1 - 386.7X_2$ Model 2: $Y_2 = -69236.9 + 12400.8X_1 + 4459.8X_2$ Model 3: $Y_3 = -162002.1 - 45664.2X_1 + 53673.1X_2$ Model 4: $Y_4 = -5647.6 + 600.7X_1 + 384.2X_2$

The multivariate regression models obtained from the proposed Multivariate Bootstrap Algorithm (see Table 3) are

Model 1: $\hat{Y}_1^{(b)} = -22038.3 + 5732.2X_1 + 130.998X_2$ Model 2: $\hat{Y}_2^{(b)} = -62246.3 + 9960.02X_1 + 5677.7X_2$ Model 3: $\hat{Y}_3^{(b)} = -151059 - 50960.96X_1 + 56405.65X_2$ Model 4: $\hat{Y}_4^{(b)} = -5129.05 + 392.63X_1 + 492.61X_2$ The Standard errors of parameters estimation for the

The Standard errors of parameters estimation for the models 1-4 are shown in Table 4.

Table 4

Standard Error of Parameter Estimates for Model 1-4 Using Multivariate Bootstrap Algorithms (n = 51, B = 1000)

| | Model 1: \hat{Y}_1 | Model 1: \hat{Y}_2 | Model 1: \hat{Y}_3 | Model 1: \hat{Y}_4 |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Analytic | | | | |
| (Standard err) | 5880.1 | 15958.3 | 33385.6 | 1174.7 |
| Intercept | | | | |
| X_1 | 802.78 | 2178.8 | 4558.2 | 160.33 |
| X_2 | 471.41 | 1279.4 | 2676.6 | 94.18 |
| Multivariate | | | | |
| Bootstrap | 420.72 | 11076 | 2271.2 | 87.11 |
| (Standard err) | 420.72 | 1197.6 | 2211.2 | 07.11 |
| Intercept | | | | |
| X_1 | 410.71 | 1111.9 | 2116.99 | 83.01 |
| X_2 | 232.93 | 624.82 | 1225.5 | 46.39 |

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Table 4 reveals great reduction in standard error for the multivariate Bootstrap and delete-5 algorithms when compared with the analytic method. This shows that both algorithms can be used in controlling variation among observations.

Also, we observed from model 1 to model 4 of the multivariate linear regression that the multivariate bootstrap method when compared with the analytic method yielded a reduced standard error. This shows that multivariate bootstrap is good methods in controlling variation among observations. We further observed that the exchange rate of US Dollar and Pounds Sterling contributed 68% effect on the Nigerian foreign trades while 32% may be attributed to other unexplained factors. This indicates that multivariate bootstrap method offer considerable potentials in the estimation of multivariate linear regression parameters.

Thus, the results reveal that bootstrap resampling allows empirical assessment of the analytical model. From the results obtained, we observe that the models obtain using bootstrap resampling approximates the analytical approach. This indicates that bootstrap methods offers considerable potentials for modeling in complex problems because it does not depend on the model assumptions. With the bootstrap results we confidently conclude that the model is adequate, and there is significant effect of foreign trade on foreign exchange rate of Naira.

REFERENCES

- Adubi, A. A., & Okunmadewa, F. (1999). Price, exchange rate volatility and Nigerias agricultural trade flows: A dynamic analysis. AERC Research paper 87, Nairobi.
- [2] Efron, B. (1982). The Jackknife, the Bootstrap and other Resampling plans (Vol. 38). In CBNS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics (pp. 29-35).
- [3] Efron, B. (2003). Second thought on Bootstrapping. *Statistical Science*, 18(2), 135-140.
- [4] Johnson, R. A., & Wichern, D. W. (1992). Applied multivariate statistical analysis(3rd ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs.
- [5] Mohammed, Z. R. (2008). Bootstrapping: a nonparametric approach to identify the effect of sparsity of data in the binary regression models. *Journal* of Applied Sciences, Network for Scientific Information, Asian, 8(17), 2991-2997.
- [6] Obiora-Ilouno, H. O., & Mbegbu, J. I. (2012). Bootstrap algorithm for the estimation of logistic regression parameters. *Journal of Nigerian Statistical* Association, 24, 10-19.
- [7] Omolara, C. A. (2010). Foreign Exchange market and monetary management in Nigeria. Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics and Management Sciences, 1(2), 102-106.
- [8] Sahinler, S., & Topuz, D. (2007). Bootstrap and Jackknife Resampling algorithm for estimation of regression parameters. *Journal of Applied Quantitative Method*, 2(2), 188-199.
- [9] Venables, W. N., & Smith (2007). An introduction to R, a programming environment for data analysis and graphics (pp. 1-100). Version 2.6.1.

APPENDIX: IMPLEMENTATION OF MULTIVARIATE DE-LETE-d ALGORITHM FOR ESTIMATION OF THE PA-RAMETERS OF MULTIVARIATE LINEAR MODEL

```
#part 1: To read data
data=read.table( "data(hap).txt", header=T, sep="")
\#Part 2
#Run this to get the original estimates
data=data.frame(data)
\operatorname{reg=lm(cbind(y1, y2, y3, y4) \sim x1 + x2, data=data)}
reg
summary(reg)
#Delete d jacknife algorithm begins here
#d=no of rows to be deleted
\#p=no of cols in the data
#data is the data matrix with the first p1 columns
\#for the dept vars and the remaining p-p1 cols for the indpt vars
jack.a=function(data, p1, d)
{
p = ncol(data)
n=nrow(data)
u=combn(n,d) #Assign the matrix of all possible combinations to u
output=matrix(0,ncol=p1^{*}(p-p1)+p1,nrow=ncol(u))
y=data[,1:p1] #the responses
x=data[,(p1+1):p] #the covariates
for (i in 1:(ncol(u)))
{
dd=c(u[,i])
yn=y[-dd,] #delete d rows of the independent var
xn=x[-dd,] #delete d rows of the dependent var
reg=lm(formula=yn \sim xn)
coef = coef(reg)
output[i,]=c(as.vector(coef))
}
output
}
#Use this part to run
data=data.matrix(data)
run=jack.a(data, 2, 5)
est = c(mean(run[,1], na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,2], na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,3], na.rm=TRUE))
na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,4], na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,5], na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,6],
na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,7], na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,8], na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,9],
na.rm=TRUE), mean(run[,10], na.rm=TRUE))
> \text{est1}=\text{matrix}(\text{est},3,4)
> rownames(est1)=c("Intercept", "x1", "x2")
> colnames(est1)=c("y1", "y2", "y3", "y4")
> est
```