

Thematic Roles and Grammatical Features of Cursing and Blessing Speech Acts in Kurdish (Ilami Dialect)

Shahla Sharifi^{[a],*}; Amir Karimipour^[b]

^[a]Associate professor in Linguistics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

^[b]M.A student in Linguistics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran *Corresponding author.

Received 6 June 2012; accepted 12 August 2012.

Abstract

Cursing and blessing are two common speech acts seen in many languages. Due to specific nature of these expressions, many linguistic and non-linguistic (e.g. religious) arguments have been proposed in order to study and scrutinize these structures from different perspectives. This article aims to analyze cursing and blessing speech acts in Ilami dialect of Kurdish. In this paper both structural and semantic components of Ilami cursing and blessing will be discussed. Since the communicative role of such expressions is of a great importance, we tried to distinguish the theta roles of cursing and blessing like agent, patient, function, goal and cause of such speech acts. Results show that blessing and especially cursing are very diverse in this dialect and they can serve several functions and goals while uttered but there can be seen some more frequent patterns in these utterances. It was also concluded that cursing and blessing share some common grammatical features. Affected by cultural and social factors, a large number of cursing and blessing expressions are no longer understood literally and they should be treated as idiomatic expressions.

Key words: Kurdish; Speech acts; Cursing; Blessing; Thematic roles; Grammatical features

Shahla Sharifi, Amir Karimipour (2012). Thematic Roles and Grammatical Features of Cursing and Blessing Speech Acts in Kurdish (Ilami Dialect). *Studies in Literature and Language*, 5(1), 54-58. Available from http://www.cscanada. net/index.php/sll/article/view/j.sll.1923156320120501.2813 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/j.sll.1923156320120501.2813

INTRODUCTION

Speech act is a term derived from the work of the philosopher J. L. Austin (1911-1960), and now used widely in linguistics, to refer to a theory which analyses the role of utterances in relation to the behavior of speaker and hearer in interpersonal communication (Crystal, 2003, p.427). Based on Searle's idea, speech act is "the minimal unit of communication":

The study of speech act is concerned with the conditions that must obtain for any utterance to "count as" a particular communicating act. The rules that govern the pragmatic performance of speech acts can range from linguistic context bound rules to context-free rules or to any linguistic context bound rules to context-free rules or to any combination of both (Blum-Kulka, 1980, p.5).

On any occasion, the action performed by producing an utterance will consist of three related acts. There is first a locutionary act, which is the basic act of utterance, or producing a meaningful linguistic expression. If you have difficulty with actually forming the sounds and words to create a meaningful utterance in a language, then you might fail to produce a locutionary act. The second dimension is illocutionary act. It is performed via the communicative force of an utterance. We do not, of course, simply create an utterance with a function without intending it to have an effect. This is the third dimension, the prelocutionary act (Yule, 2000, p.48). Several categories of speech act have been proposed:

Declarations are those kinds of speech acts that change the world via their utterance. As an example:

A priest: I now pronounce you husband and wife.

In using a declaration, the speaker changes the world via words.

Commissives are those kinds of speech acts that speakers use to commit themselves to some future actions.

They are promises, threats, refusals, pledges, etc. (Yule, 2000, pp.53-54)

Expressive are those speech acts that speakers express their feelings, e.g. apologizing, welcoming, and sympathizing.

Representatives are speech acts that speakers convey their belief about the truth of a proposition, e.g. asserting, hypothesizing (Crystal, 2003, p.427).

In this article two common kinds of speech acts, cursing and blessing, will be studied. Cursing has been defined as "Calling for evil or misfortune to befall someone or something -- a type of invocation", and blessing is "the invoking of God's favor upon a person". It should be mentioned that cursing and blessing are classified as commissive speech acts because they are related to some future actions; this is why some similarities can be found between cursing, blessing and threats for instance. We try to analyze these structures both structurally and semantically. The pattern based on which cursing and blessing are analyzed is Sabuhi Khamene's work (2010). She has proposed a pattern to study cursing and blessing linguistically. Based on her research, several thematic roles will be specified for each speech act, including agent (doer), patient, cause and goal. For more understanding English literal and exact translation will be given for each example. Due to cultural barriers some examples could not be translated and for such expressions No equivalent is used.

Speech acts are studied by many scholars. Searle (1969, p.18) believes that a "study of the meaning of sentences is not in principle distinct from a study of speech acts." They are the same study because "every meaningful sentence in virtue of its meaning can be used to perform a particular speech act (or range of speech acts)".

There are also some other works done by Meijers (1994), Mulligan (1987), Schuhmann & Smith (1990), Searle (1965, 1975), Smith (1990).

Cursing and blessing are studied in different languages. As it was mentioned, in Persian, Sabuhi khamene (2010) has a work on cursing and blessing speech acts in her M.A thesis. She analyzes Persian cursing and blessing both semantically and syntactically in order to distinguish different theta roles and syntactic properties of these locutionary acts.

AN INTRODUCTION TO KURDISH

Kurdish has many dialects, and Ilami dialect is one of these varieties. Britannica describes Kurdish so:

Kurdish is a new western Iranian language spoken in Kurdistan; it ranks as the third largest Iranian language group, after Persian and Pashto, and has numerous dialects. There are two main dialect groups. The northern group-spoken from Mosul, Iraq, into the Caucasus—is called Kurmānji; in Turkey, Hawar (Turkized Latin) characters are used in the written form. It is spoken within a broad region that stretches roughly from Orūmīyeh, Iran, to the lower reaches of traditional Kurdistan in Iraq. In Iraq, Kurdī is the official form of Kurdish. Subdialects of Kurdish include Kermanshahī, Lekī, Guranī, and Zaza.

As noted, Ilami (sometimes is called Feyli) is another variety under this umbrella, Kurdish. This dialect is widely spoken in Ilam, a small mountainous city located at the west of Iran. Ilami has some similarities with Kermashshahi and Kalhori. Although most of Kurdish varieties have ergative system, Ilami does not have such a system (Kalbassi, 2010, p.104).

DATA ANALYSIS

At this part, some Kurdish cursing and blessing speech acts will be analyzed. Some of them are cursing and the other blessing. For each example a table is drawn. Then different theta roles will be distinguished.

Table 1

Blessing locutionary act: x⊃da ∫ær dæt bəxegæ God calamity from you throw away	la
English translation: God bless you! Function: thanking and wishing Patient: a boy Agent: an old woman Cause: the boy has helped the old woman Goal: protecting from calamity	

Table 2

Blessing locutionary act: æli røsət bejøre Ali success/guide make

English translation: God bless your heart! Function: thanking and encouraging Patient: her daughter Agent: An old woman Cause: the daughter is kind and helpful Goal: to be successful

Table 3

Blessing locutionar	5	pajar healthy	ət b make	oəke e	
English translatio Function: wishing Patient: a boy Agent: an old man Cause: The boy ob Goal: to be energe	, affective beys the co	mmand ar	nd helps		

Table 4

Blessing locutionary act: xoda xwe awo bokegæ molo ageraw God himself water spill on fire

English translation: God makes the back to the burden. Function: wishing, affective Patient: a family Agent: a woman Cause: the family suffer many calamities and disasters Goal: solving the problems

Table 5

Blessing locutionary	act: səxa	inət aza	bu		
	Bones	healthy	be		
English translation: I wish to God your health					
Function: wishing and encouraging					
Patient: a boy					
Agent: a woman					
Cause: the boy has some positive and appreciative features					
Goal: to be healthy	-				

Table 6

Blessing locutionary act: kɔrət næməre Your boy not die

English translation: no equivalent Function: greeting and wishing Patient: a mother

Agent: a woman Cause: mother sympathizing with the woman about her problems Goal: the health of mother's son(s)

Table 7

 Blessing locutionary act: kərə
 dawəd
 wæ
 dawrətaw (bu)

 Boy
 Davood
 to
 around you be

 English translation:
 God bless her/his soul!

Function: wishing and farewell Patient: her son Agent: a woman Cause: the boy is about to travel and mother is worried about her son Goal: to be healthy

Table 8

Cursing locutionary act: æli d3ama[ətan sijæ bəke Ali home black do English translation: God is in his heaven, all is right with the world. Function: affective and objective Patient: a family Agent: a woman Cause: the intolerable sorrows the woman suffers from

Table 9

Cursing locutionary act: tuJ fær bijaj affect evil come English translation: To come to grief Function: affective and objective Patient: a man Agent: an old woman

cut

Cause: the bad features of man **Goal:** misery of man

Goal: misery of family

Table 10

Cursing locutionary act: nanət buwəre

Bread

English translation: Amazing!

Function: wishing/joking/wonder Patient: a boy Agent: A man

Cause: The boy is extraordinarily obese Goal: to take the bread out of his mouth

Table 11

Cursing locution	onary act: særa	et a n buwa	tʊj͡ətaw	
	Cancer	become	suffer	
English transl	ation: God da	mn you!		
Function: affe	ctive	-		
Patient: a boy				
Agent: a wom	an			
Cause: the boy	's behavior is	annoying		
Goal: illness a	nd death			

Table 12

Cursing locutionary act: nuret bepære				
Faith jump				
English translation: no equivalent				
Function: affective				
Patient: a child				
Agent: a woman				
Cause: the child is playful and impolite				
Goal: to be totally out of spirituality				

Table 13

English translation: their life go to the dogs Function: wishing and objective Patient: a couple
Function: wishing and objective
e
Agent: a woman
Cause: She is jealous and sad about wedding and wishes this wedding for her own daughter
Goal: cancellation of the wedding by a bad happening, like the death of couple or their family

Table 14

Cursing locutionary act:	qu bəkejæ	∫unə	mirkanejan
To be le	eft behind t	their house	

English translation: no equivalent Function: affective Patient: a girl Agent: a woman Cause: the girl is very impolite and is (humiliatingly) the most important member of family Goal: the death of girl's family and survival of the girl

DISCUSSION

Analyzing the above tables gives us some information about the theta roles, the functions, goals and causes of the cursing and blessing utterances in Ilami dialect. Here we points out some of these findings:

a. Agent and Patient

In most of the examples above, agent, the person who utters a curse or bless, invokes good or evil for other people to express pleasure or displeasure about their behavior. Nonetheless, it is possible to utter a curse or bless with a reflexive impact too. "təf bətʃu dæ tewə[əm" (shame on me) can be called a "self-cursing". Sad about a topic, the agent blames himself/herself through a selfcursing. In this example agent and patient are the same. As it can be seen agent in all of the instances mentioned above is singular and plural agent is not very common. Unlike agent, patient can be either singular or plural as in the following examples:

æli pajarət bəke (a boy-singular patient)

æli d**za**malətan sijæ bəke (a family-plural patient)

b. Function

Cursing and blessing have many functions. From the examples above, several momentous functions can be elicited.

Blessing: affective, thanking, encouraging and wishing, etc..

Cursing: affective, objective, joking, etc..

It is worth mentioning that more than one function may be served by a single curse or bless. For example, a bless can have encouraging and thanking functions at the same time, as in example 2.

c. Goal and Medium

We should know each cursing or blessing is uttered to achieve a specific goal. These goals can be related to success, health, protection in blessing and death, illness, misery and calamity in cursing. Speakers use some holy names like the name of God or Imams' as intermediators to achieve those given aims through, $x \supset da$, æli to be mentioned here.

The meaning of cursing and blessing can be intensified by adding some items like adjective, possessive case, reflexive pronoun, etc..

Adjective: xoda tTæmə (eye) wætan da tu tTæma xere (good eye) wætan da fu xoda xer is an adjective used to fortify the effect of blessing. Possessive case: 1. xoda (God) fær dæt bəxegæ la xodaj æli(God of Ali) fær dæt bəxegæ la 2. særæt**a**n (cancer) buwæ töfətaw særætana xun (Blood cancer) buwæ tö jətaw æli and xön are added to the above examples, consequently meanings are more intensified.

Reflexive pronoun:

æli (Ali)	dzamaləta	in si	ijæ	bəke	
æli xwe (.	Ali himself)	dzama	a ətan	sijæ	bəke

d. Cause

Every speech act is expressed due to a range of reasons. In example 13 the curse "bəpetJəgæ dæwar" is uttered due to several unsaid reasons: personal characteristics of the woman (she is jealous), a success (marriage) unachievable for woman's daughter and so forth.

It must be hinted that most of cursing and blessing

expressions studied in Kurdish are not literally understood. In fact they should be considered as idioms. For example, "bəpetʃəgæ dæw@r" or "kərə d@wəd wæ d@wrət@w (bu)" are not understood literally. So in order to understand the intended meaning of such idioms, one must be well aware of the deep and fundamental concepts defined in Kurdish culture.

e. Grammatical Structure of Cursing and Blessing

As it can be guessed cursing and blessing are structurally similar. The "tense" used in these structures is often present, however the use of other forms is also probable:

korəm bəməre (present) \rightarrow (ka \int ka) korəm bəmərdija (past)

my son die (I wish) my son would die

bær nægəre (present) \rightarrow (k $a \int ka$) bær nægərtija (past) fruit not bear (I wish) fruit would not bear

It is worth noting that Ilami dialect does not have any specific structure to show the future tense. So no cursing or blessing is seen in the future tense. The only aspect used in these rigid structures is potential. "bə" is a prefix used to show potential aspect. It is attached to the verbs in all of the instances mentioned thus far. It should be noted that "person" in such expressions can be 1st (as in self-cursing or self-blessing), 2nd or 3rd person. If the listener is simultaneously the addressee too, second person is used otherwise third person will be used to refer to the addressee(s) indirectly:

gəlaraw bəkej¹ \rightarrow When the listener is addressed 2nd person is used

(illness)

tərki bək e^2 \rightarrow when the addressee(may be absent) is indirectly addressed 3^{rd} person is used

(heart attack)

Albeit the examples above are used in the form of sentences, they can also be expressed in the form of interjections. As we will see, interjections lack overt verb, and they are made of a noun phrase:

Blessing:

1.sælæwat wæ dinə æli!

Praise to religion of Ali

When a person has some good qualities or has done something perfectly, well done.

2. namə xəda!

Name of God

May God preserve you/him.

Cursing:

1. særætan !

Cancer

No Equivalent

2. zæqnæbut! Something with a bitter taste like snake's posion

¹/ej/ is a suffix attached to the verb to represent 2nd person-singular

 $^{^{2}}$ /e/ is a suffix attached to the verb to show 3rd person-singular

No equivalent

3. mafætæ!

Illness

May you choke on it?

Some of cursing expressions can change to form a bless by negating the main verb:

 $nanat buware (curse) \rightarrow nanat naware (bless)$

korət bəməre (curse) \rightarrow korət **næ**məre (bless)

The reverse is also true. When a bless is negated, it may form a curse:

səxanət aza bu (bless) \rightarrow səxanət aza næw (curse)

This rule is totally relative. A curse like "særætan buwæ töʃətaw" cannot be negated to form a bless, because it contains a word like "særætan" which has a negative meaning and cannot be neutralized when sentence is negated.

*³særætan næwæ tü∫ətaw

CONCLUSION

We saw that cursing and blessing are widely used in Kurdish. This variety roots in beliefs and culture of Ilami people. In this article we could compare the structure of Kurdish cursing and blessing. It was seen that these structures share common features both syntactically and semantically. In a syntactic point of view, cursing and blessing are usually used in the present time and less commonly the past time with a potential aspect. They can be uttered in either second or third person and semantically, they seem to be idiomatic in nature. In a social viewpoint, Kurdish examples serve different functions like affective, thanking, wishing, objective and so forth. Obviously, every curse or bless is uttered to achieve a specific goal. Death, misery and health are the most important goals followed in Kurdish cursing and blessing speech acts. Speakers get help from some mediums like God or Imams names and some illnesses (e.g., cancer) to achieve what they want from the curse or bless. It was also distinguished Kurdish cursing and blessing are used metaphorically, in other words the intended meaning cannot be understood word by word. Since these expressions are very various in Ilami dialect, a comparative work can lead to some interesting results and findings.

REFERENCES

- Blum-Kulka, S. (1980). Learning to Say What You Mean in a Second Language: A Study of Speech Act Performance of Learners of Hebrew as a Second Language (pp. 1-60).
- Crystal, D. (2003). *A Dictionary of Linguistics & Phonetics* (5th ed.). Oxford: Blackwell Press.
- Kalbassi, I. (2010). *A Descriptive Dictionary of Linguistic Varieties in Iran*. Institute for Humanities and Cultural Studies, Tehran.
- Kurdish Language (2012). In Encyclopedia Britannica. Retrieved from http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/ topic/325225/Kurdish-language
- Meijers, A. (1994). Speech Acts, Communication and Collective Intentionality: Beyond Searle's Individualism. Leiden: Rijksuniversiteit.
- Mulligan, K. (1987). Promisings and Other Social Acts: Their Constituents and Structure. In Mulligan (Ed.), Speech Act and Sachverhalt: Reinach and the Foundations of Realist Phenomenology (pp. 29-90). The Hague: Nijhoff.
- Sabuhi Khamene, L. (2010). *Analysis of the Cursing and Blessing as Speech Acts in Persian* (Unpublished master's thesis). Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.
- Schuhmann, K. & Smith, B. (1990). Elements of Speech Act Theory in the Work of Thomas Reid. *History of Philosophy Quarterly*, 7(1), 47-66.
- Searle, J.R. (1965). What is a Speech Act? In Max Black (Ed.), *Philosophy in America*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; London: Allen and Unwin.
- Searle, J.R. (1969). Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Searle, J.R. (1975). A Taxonomy of Illocutionary Acts. In K. Gunderson (Ed.), Language, Mind, and Knowledge (Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science), 7, 344-369; Reprinted in Searle (Ed.), Experience and Meaning. Studies in the Theory of Speech Acts (1975, pp. 1-29). Cambridge: Cambridge university Press.
- Smith, B. (1990). Towards a History of Speech Act Theory. In A. Burkhardt (Ed.), Speech Acts, Meaning and Intentions: Critical Approaches to the Philisophy of John R. Searle (pp. 29-61). Berlin/New York: De Gruyter.
- Yule, G. (2000). *Pragmatics*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

^{3*} is conventionally used for semantically unaccepted sentences.