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Abstract: In this paper, the definition of the saturated element in quantale is given, Based on the coproduct of monoids, the concrete forms of the coproduct of unital quantales is obatined. Also, some properties of their are discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Quantale was introduced by C.J.Mulvey in 1986 in order to provide a lattice theoretic setting for studying non-commutative C*-algebras[1], as well as a constructive foundations of quantum logic. A quantale-besed (non-commutative logic theoretic) approach to quantum mechanics was developed by Piazza. It is known that quantales are one of the semantics of linear logic. The systematic introduction of quantale theory came from the book [2], which written by K.I.Rosenthal in 1990. Quantale theory provides a powerful tool in studying noncommutative structures, it has a wide applications, especially in studying noncommutative C*-algebra theory [3], the ideal theory of commutative ring[4], linear logic [5] and so on. Following C.J.Mulvey, the quantale theory have been studied by many researches [6-21].

Since coproducts is very important concept in many categories, and their coproducts product have been studied systemically. In this paper, the concrete forms of the coproducts of unital quantales is obatined. For notions and concepts concerned, but explained, please refer to [2,22].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1[2] A quantale is a complete lattice $Q$ with an associative binary operation “&” satisfying:

$$a&\left(\bigvee_{i\in I}b_i\right) = \bigvee_{i\in I}(a\&b_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\bigvee_{i\in I}b_i\right)\&a = \bigvee_{i\in I}(b_i\&a),$$

for all $a,b_i \in Q$, where $I$ is a set, 0 and 1 denote the smallest element and the greatest element of $Q$, respectively.

A quantale $Q$ is said to be unital if there is an element $u \in Q$ such that $u\&a = a\&u = a$ for all $a \in Q$.

Definition 2.2[2] Let $Q$ be a quantale and $a \in Q$.

(1) $a$ is right − sided if and only if $a\&1 \leq a$.

(2) $a$ is left − sided if and only if $1\&a \leq a$.

1Xi’an University of Science and Technology. E-mail: Liangshaohui1011@163.com.
*Corresponding author.
†Received 15 December 2010; accepted 25 February 2011.
(3) a is two-sided if and only if a is both right and left side.
(4) a is idempotent if and only if a®a = a.

Definition 2.3\cite{[2]} Let \( Q \) and \( P \) be quantales. A function \( f : Q \rightarrow P \) is a homomorphism of quantale if \( f \) preserves arbitrary sups and the operation “&”. If \( Q \) and \( P \) are unital, then \( f \) is unital homomorphism if in addition to being a homomorphism, it satisfies \( f(u_Q) = u_P \), where \( u_Q \) and \( u_P \) are units of \( Q \) and \( P \), respectively.

Definition 2.4\cite{[2]} Let \( Q \) be a quantale. A subset \( S \subseteq Q \) is a subquantale of \( Q \) if the inclusion \( S \hookrightarrow Q \) is a quantale homomorphism, i.e., \( S \) is closed under sups and “&”.

Definition 2.5\cite{[2]} Let \( Q \) be a quantale. A quantic nucleus on \( Q \) is a closure operator \( j \) such that \( j(a) & j(b) \leq j(a & b) \) for all \( a, b \in Q \).

3. THE COPRODUCTS OF MONOIDS

The present section is dedicated to The Coproducts of Monoids. We will show its existence, and some properties are discussed.

Let \( \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) be a family of nonempty monoids with \( \cap A_i \neq \emptyset \). A word on \( \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) is a sequence \( (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k) \) with \( a_i \in A_i \), where \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( a_i, a_j \) belong to different monoids. Let us \( \Pi^* A_i \) to denote the set of words on \( \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \). Define binary operator “*” : \( \forall w_1 = a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t}, \ w_2 = b_{j_1}b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_t} \in \Pi^* \), \( w_1 * w_2 = a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t}b_{j_1}b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_t} \).

If \( a_i b_j \) belong to the same set \( A_i \), then \( a_i b_j \) designated as letter of \( A_i \). It is easy to show that \( \Pi^* A_i \) is a monoid, the empty word is the unit of \( \Pi^* A_i \), and is denoted \( e^* \).

Theorem 3.1 Let \( \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) be a family of nonempty monoids with \( \cap A_i \neq \emptyset \), the map \( \mu_i : A_i \rightarrow \Pi^* A_i \) \( l x \mapsto \mu_i(x) = x \). If \( g_i : A_i \rightarrow G \) is a family of monoid homomorphisms. Then there exists a unique monoid homomorphism \( h : \Pi^* A_i \rightarrow G \) such that \( h \circ \mu_i = g_i \) for all \( i \in \mathcal{I} \), and this property determines \( \Pi^* A_i \) uniquely up to isomorphism. In order words, \( (\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, (\Pi^* A_i) \) is a coproduct in the category of monoids.

Proof. At first, we define \( h : \Pi^* A_i \rightarrow G \) give by \( \forall w_1 = a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t} \in \Pi^* A_i \),

\[
h(a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t}) = \begin{cases} e_G, & w_1 = 0 \\ g_{i_1}(a_{i_2}) \cdots g_{i_t}(a_{i_t}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Then the map \( h \) is well defined and it also preserves unit. Next, we will prove that the map \( h \) preserves the operator of \( \Pi^* A_i \).

For all \( w_1 = a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t}a_{i_s} = b_{j_1}b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_t} \in \Pi^* \), then \( h(w_1 * w_2) = h((a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t}) * (b_{j_1}b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_t})) \)

\[
= h(a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t}b_{j_1}b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_t}) \\
= g_{i_1}(a_{i_2})g_{i_2}(a_{i_3}) \cdots g_{i_t}(a_{i_{t-1}})(b_{j_1})g_{j_2}(b_{j_2}) \cdots g_{j_t}(b_{j_t}) \\
= (g_{i_1}(a_{i_2})g_{i_2}(a_{i_3}) \cdots g_{i_t}(a_{i_{t-1}})) \cdot (g_{j_1}(b_{j_2})g_{j_2}(b_{j_3}) \cdots g_{j_t}(b_{j_t})) \\
= h(a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t}) \cdot h(b_{j_1}b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_t}).
\]

It is not hard to see that \( h \circ \mu_i = g_i \). At last, we will prove that the \( h \) is an unique monoid homomorphism.

Now, let \( h' : \Pi^* A_i \rightarrow G \) be another monoid homomorphism with \( h \circ \mu_i = g_i \). For all \( w_1 = a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_t} \in \Pi^* \), we have
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4. THE SATURATED ELEMENT OF QUANTALES

Let $Q$ be a quantale, $R \subseteq Q \times Q$ is a relation, we want to construct a new quantale with $R$.

An element $s \in Q$ is saturated if $\forall a, b, c, d \in Q$ with $(a, b) \in R$, then $a \& c \& d \leq s \iff b \& c \& d \leq s$, $c \& a \& d \leq s \iff c \& b \& d \leq s$.

Let us $S_R(Q)$ denote the set of all saturated element of $Q$. Obviously, any meet of saturated sets is saturated.

**Theorem 4.1** Let $Q$ be a quantale with $e$, $R \subseteq Q \times Q$ is a relation on $Q$, then $S_R(Q)$ is a quotient quantale of $Q$.

**Proof.** Obviously, $S_R(Q)$ is nonempty set, and meet of $S_R(Q)$ is closed.

For all $x, y, z, a, b \in Q$, $s \in S_R(Q)$ with $(a, b) \in R$, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
& a \& x \& y \leq z \longrightarrow s \iff a \& x \& y \& z \leq s \iff b \& x \& y \& z \leq s \iff a \& x \& y \leq z \longrightarrow s, \\
& x \& a \& y \leq z \longrightarrow s \iff x \& a \& y \& z \leq s \iff x \& b \& y \& z \leq s \iff x \& b \& y \leq z \longrightarrow s, \\
& a \& x \& y \leq z \longrightarrow r, s \iff z \& a \& x \& y \leq s \iff z \& b \& x \& y \leq s \iff b \& x \& y \leq z \longrightarrow r, s, \\
& x \& a \& y \leq z \longrightarrow r, s \iff z \& x \& a \& y \leq s \iff z \& x \& b \& y \leq s \iff x \& b \& y \leq z \longrightarrow r, s.
\end{align*}
\]
Thus $z \longrightarrow s, z \longrightarrow r, s \in S_R(Q)$.

Therefore $S_R(Q)$ is a quotient quantale of $Q$.

**Theorem 4.2** Let $Q$ be a quantale with $e$, $R \subseteq Q \times Q$ is a relation on $Q$. Define $v_R : Q \longrightarrow Q$ such that $v_R(x) = \bigwedge \{s \in S_R(Q) \mid x \leq s\}$ for all $x \in Q$. Then is a quantale nucleus on $Q$.

**Theorem 4.3** Let $Q$ be a quantale with $e$, $R \subseteq Q \times Q$ is a relation on $Q$. We have

1. $v_R(Q) = \{x \mid v_R(x) = x\} = S_R(Q)$;
2. The map $v_R : Q \longrightarrow S_R(Q)$ is a quantale surjective homomorphism;
3. $v_R(e)$ is a unit of $S_R(Q)$.

**Theorem 4.4** Let $Q$ be a quantale with $e$, $a, b \in Q$. We have

1. If $(a, b) \in R$, then $v_R(a) = v_R(b)$;
2. Let $h : Q \longrightarrow P$ be a unital quantale homomorphism, such that $(a, b) \in R \implies h(a) = h(b)$, there exist an unital quantale homomorphism $\overline{h} : S_R(Q) \longrightarrow P$ such that $\overline{h} \circ v_R = h$ and for all $x \in S_R(Q)$.

**Proof.** 1. If $(a, b) \in R$, then $a = a \& e \& e \leq v_R(a)$, since $v_R(a)$ is saturated with $R$, we have $v_R(b) \leq v_R(a)$, and by symmetry $v_R(a) = v_R(b)$.
(ii) Let \( h : Q \rightarrow P \) be a unital quantale homomorphism such that \((a, b) \in R \implies h(a) = h(b)\). Define \( \sigma(x) = \sqrt{y \in Q \mid h(y) \leq h(x)} \), obviously \( x \leq \sigma(x) \), and \( h \circ \sigma(x) = h(x) \).

Let \((a, b) \in R, c, d \in Q\), and \( a \& c \& d \leq \sigma(x) \), then \( h(b \& c \& d) = h(b) \& h(c) \& h(d) = h(a) \& h(c) \& h(d) = h(a \& c \& d) \leq h(\sigma(x)) = h(x) \), hence \( b \& c \& d \leq \sigma(x) \). Similarly, if \( b \& c \& d \leq \sigma(x) \), then \( a \& c \& d \leq \sigma(x) \), we have \( a \& c \& d \leq \sigma(x) \iff b \& c \& d \leq \sigma(x) \).

If \( c \& a \& d \leq \sigma(x) \), then \( h(c \& b \& d) = h(c) \& h(b) \& h(d) = h(c) \& h(a) \& h(d) = h(c \& a \& d) \leq h(\sigma(x)) = h(x) \). Hence \( c \& b \& d \leq \sigma(x) \). Similarly, if \( c \& b \& d \leq \sigma(x) \), then \( c \& a \& d \leq \sigma(x) \). Hence \( c \& a \& d \leq \sigma(x) \iff c \& b \& d \leq \sigma(x) \). Now, we can see that \( \sigma(x) \) is saturated with \( R \).

Since \( x \leq v_R(x) \leq \sigma(x) \) for all \( x \in Q \), then \( h(x) \leq (h \circ v_R)(x) \leq (h \circ \sigma)(x) = h(x) \), hence \( h \circ v_R(x) = h(x) \). Define \( \tilde{h} = h \upharpoonright_{v_R(Q)} \), we can see that \( \tilde{h} \) is a unital quantale homomorphism such that \( \tilde{h} \circ v_R = h \). Obviously, \( \tilde{h}(s) = h(s) \) for all \( s \in S_R(Q) \).

5. THE COPRODUCT OF THE CATEGORY OF UNITAL QUANTALES

Let \( \text{Quant} \) denote the category of quantale and homomorphism, \( \text{UnQuant} \) be the category of unital quantales and unital quantale homomorphism,

In [], if \( M \) is a monoid, the the power set \( P(M) \) is a quantake with a operator \&.

**Theorem 5.1** Let \( M \) be a monoid with unit \( e \). Define: \( A \& B = \{ a \cdot b \mid a \in A, b \in B \} \) for all \( A, B \in P(M) \), then \( (P(M), \& , e) \) is a unital quantale.

Let \( \text{Mon} \) denote the category of monoids with monoid homomorphism.

Define

\[
P : \text{Mon} \rightarrow \text{UnQuant}
\]

\[
M \mapsto P(M)
\]

\[
f : M \rightarrow N \mapsto P(f) : P(M) \rightarrow P(N)
\]

\[
A \mapsto \{ f(a) \mid a \in A \}
\]

It is easy to prove that \( P : \text{Mon} \rightarrow \text{UnQuant} \) is a functor.

**Theorem 5.2**[1] Functor \( P : \text{Mon} \rightarrow \text{UnQuant} \) is left adjoint to the forgetful functor \( U : \text{UnQuant} \rightarrow \text{Mon} \).

For the convenience of the following statements, the proof of Theorem 5.2 is simply described as follows.

Let \( M \) is a monoid, \( \mu : M \rightarrow P(M) \) such that for all \( x \in M \). Obviously, the map \( \mu \) is a monoid homomorphism.

Assume that \( f : M \rightarrow Q \) is a monoid homomorphism. Defined \( \overline{f} : P(M) \rightarrow Q \) such that \( \overline{f}(A) = \sqrt{\{ f(a) \mid a \in A \}} \) for all \( A \in P(M) \). It is easy to verify that \( f = \overline{f} \circ \mu \), i. e. the triangle commutes. The uniqueness of \( \overline{f} \) is immediate.
Next, we shall give coproduct of the category unit quantales based on the above discussions.

Let \( \{Q_i\}_{i \in I} \) be a family of nonempty unit quantales with \( \bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \neq \emptyset \), by Theorem 4.1 and 4.2, we can see that \((\mu_i)_{i \in I}, \Pi^* Q_i)\) is the coproduct of the category of monoid, and \(P(\Pi^* Q_i), \&\) is a unit quantale.

We define a mapping \( R = \{(\mu \circ \mu_i)(x_i) \mid i \in I, \{x_i\}_{i \in J} \subseteq Q_i \} \subseteq P(\Pi^* Q_i) \times P(\Pi^* Q_i) \) as follows:

\[
\nu_R : P(\Pi^* Q_i) \longrightarrow S_R(P(\Pi^* Q_i))
\]

\[
x \mapsto \bigwedge \{s \in S_R(P(\Pi^* Q_i) \mid x \leq s \}.
\]

By theorem 3.2, we have that the map \( \nu_R \) is a quantic nucleus, we use \( S_R(P(\Pi^* Q_i)) \) to denote the class of all saturated elements of \( P(\Pi^* Q_i) \) with \( R \), and \( S_R(P(\Pi^* Q_i)) \) is a quantic quotient of \( P(\Pi^* Q_i) \) by the above discussions.

The following theorem gives the concrete forms of the coproduct in \textit{UnQuant}.

**Theorem 5.3** Let \( \{Q_i\}_{i \in I} \) be a family of nonempty unit quantales with \( \bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \neq \emptyset \), then \( (l_i, S_R(P(\Pi^* Q_i))) \) is a coproduct of \( \{Q_i\}_{i \in I} \) in \textit{UnQuant}, where \( l_i \equiv \nu_R \circ \mu \circ \mu_i, \nu_R, \mu, \mu_i \) are some unit quantale homomorphism, \( h \) is the monoid homomorphism obtained by theorem 2.1, \( h' \) is the unit quantale homomorphism form theorem 4.2.

**Proof.**

1. For all \( x, y \in Q \), then \( l_i(x \& y) = (\nu_R(\mu \circ \mu_i))(x \& y) = (\nu_R \circ \mu)(x \& y) = \nu_R([x] \& [y]) = \nu_R((x) \& (y)) = (\nu_R \circ \mu)(x) \& (\nu_R \circ \mu)(y) = (\nu_R \circ \mu \circ \mu_i)(x) \& (\nu_R \circ \mu \circ \mu_i)(y) = l_i(x) \& l_i(y).

For all \( \{x_i\}_{i \in K} \subseteq Q_i \), since \( (\mu \circ \mu_i)(\bigvee_{i \in K} x_i), \bigvee_{i \in K} (\mu \circ \mu_i)(x_i)) \in R \), by theorem 3.4, we can see that

\[
\nu_R(\mu \circ \mu_i)(\bigvee_{i \in K} x_i) = \nu_R((\bigvee_{i \in K} \mu \circ \mu_i)(x_i)).
\]

Hence \( l_i(\bigvee_{i \in K} x_i) = (\nu_R \circ \mu \circ \mu_i)(\bigvee_{i \in K} x_i) = \nu_R((\bigvee_{i \in K} \mu \circ \mu_i)(x_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in K} ((\nu_R \circ \mu \circ \mu_i)(x_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in K} l_i(x_i).
\]

3. Let \( e_i \) be the unit of \( Q_i \), then \( l_i(e_i) = (\nu_R \circ \mu \circ \mu_i)(e_i) = \nu_R(\mu(e^\ast)) = \nu_R(e^\ast) \). By theorem 3.3(iii), we can show that \( \nu_R(e^\ast) \) be a unit on \( S_R(P(\Pi^* Q_i)) \). Hence \( l_i \) preserves the unit element. By (1),(2),(3), we can see that the mapping \( l_i \) is a unit quantale homomorphism.

By theorem 4.2, there is a unit quantale homomorphism \( h' \) such that \( h' \circ \mu_i = h \) for all \( i \in I \).

Since \( h'(\bigvee_{i \in K} \mu \circ \mu_i(x_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in K} ((h' \circ \mu_i)(x_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in K} (h \circ \mu_i)(x_i) = \bigvee_{i \in K} g_i(x_i) = g_i(\bigvee_{i \in K} x_i) = h \circ \mu_i(\bigvee_{i \in K} x_i) \), and be theorem 3.4(i), we can see that there exist a unique unit quantale homomorphism \( h'' \) satisfy \( h' = h'' \circ \nu_R \) and \( h'' \circ l_i = h'' \circ (\nu_R \circ \mu \circ \mu_i) = h' \circ \mu \circ \mu_i = h \circ \mu_i = g_i \).

Therefore \( (l_i, S_R(P(\Pi^* Q_i))) \) is a coproduct of \( \{Q_i\}_{i \in I} \) in \textit{UnQuant}.

---
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