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Abstract: In this paper, the fuzzy mathematics method is applied to build a fuzzy comprehensive evaluation model of multilevel selection of entrepreneur ability capital. Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation is very effective in multiple factor decision-making and layered authorization is used in AHP to decide authority preferences. All these make the difference in subjective evaluation controlled to the minimum scope, hence the evaluating results are more objective and exact. The paper provides a scientific, practical and quantitative method for the system analysis and comprehensive evaluation of entrepreneur ability capital.
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Entrepreneur ability capital is concerned by society widely. Human’s knowledge, intelligence and creative ability have become the main resources and power of current economic development of society. Entrepreneur ability capital is to capitalize, to value the entrepreneur’s ability and to pursue the maximum profits through the market. As of lucubrating of entrepreneur ability capital, is concerned whether exerting the entrepreneur’s effect sufficiently, moreover, is concerned whether promoting development of economic society rapidly. Therefore, it’s very important for comprehensive evaluation of entrepreneur ability capital. It includes many aspects of the constitute of entrepreneur ability capital. How to assess entrepreneur exactly is a researching hotspot which is researched by entrepreneur and theorist. In this text fuzzy comprehensive evaluation and analysis hierarchy process are applied for evaluation of entrepreneur ability capital on the basis of establishment of the index system of entrepreneur ability capital. That provides a scientific and useful quantity method for systematic analysis and impersonaly evaluation of entrepreneur ability capital.

1. ENTREPRENEUR ABILITY CAPITAL
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Entrepreneur is the key of the development of the enterprise and its ability is the core element. Therefore, the research of entrepreneur ability acquires rife recognition of experts and scholars all around the world.

Foreign scholars have investigated entrepreneur ability as earlier as 18th century. Experts and scholars have discussed entrepreneur ability extensively from different perspectives. Cantillon (1775) indicated that entrepreneur should be provided with the power of speculation from the aspect of the spirit of the venture; Say (1804) emphasized the power of the coordination of the organization of entrepreneur; Maxieer (1843) indicated entrepreneur ability should be “the operating power of the utilization of the capital” from the perspective of organization; Knight (1921) figured that the chief function of entrepreneur is to “determine which to do and how to do” on the condition of uncertainty risk from the perspective of risk as well as to undertake uncertainty, its essential requirement was that entrepreneur should possess the ability of guarantee for economic income; Schumpeter (1934) put forward and reviewed the creative ability of entrepreneur from the perspective of abolishing old equilibrium; Kirzner (1937) analyzed entrepreneur ability from the perspective of bringing into playing market revising disequilibrium; Coase (1938) figured that entrepreneur should possess the ability of market demand prediction, organization management and ordination from the perspective of organization management and production operation; Schultz (1973) figured that entrepreneur should have the ability of dealing with disequilibrium through classifying the ability of entrepreneur; Carson (1981) figured that entrepreneur should have the ability of decision-making judgement and pointed out that it was decision-making according to the owned information under uncertainty condition from the perspective of the normal frame of the company research. Different experts figured out distinct opinions for entrepreneur ability with varied and disunified views in their fields respectively. This indicated different scholars not only emphasized entrepreneur ability research but also opened out the difference of aentrepreneur ability between different scholars in understanding.

No few scholars carried through concerned research and acquired a few performances on the matter of entrepreneur ability capital. So called entrepreneur is special talent based on ability capital and professional on operating corporation through promoting survival and development of entrepreneur to come true maximum of self and entrepreneur and social profit. Ability is the display of human’s comprehensive quality in real life and an positive and efficient pattern in dominating certain activity exactly and real skill and energy in solving some problem and coming true human’s value. The basic signification, so called capital, is a mean for future profit through certain investment activity. All acquired belongings that will gain certain profit or benefit in future and pay out toll in current certain period is capital. Entrepreneur ability capital is summation of coagulation with knowledge and technology and information and health and quality and reputation and group collaboration power through investment.

2. ENTREPRENEUR ABILITY CAPITAL EVALUATION INDICATOR SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION

Entrepreneur ability capital is a concrete and intact and dynamic system and its construction of evaluation indicator system is established according to the elements of entrepreneur ability capital. Different scholars have distinct opinions on the elements of entrepreneur ability capital. Author chose and confirmed elements system of entrepreneur ability capital construction cautiously through considering elaborately and comparing repeatedly after reading a lot of literature materials and also confirmed a indicator system which could reflect entrepreneur ability capital completely and scientifically. Entrepreneur ability capital construction include four aspects with knowledge, intelligence, quality and health. Knowledge include organization knowledge, environment knowledge and expertise knowledge; Intelligence include decision-making ability, analysis ability and innovation ability; Quality include particularity feature and politics quality; Health include mind health and body health. Every
element of ability capital construction includes several concrete elements. (as of chart one).

Chart 1  The evaluation indicator system of entrepreneur ability capital construction element

3.  THE CONSTRUCTION MATHEMATICS MODEL OF FUZZY COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION OF MULTILEVEL SELECTION

3.1 Fixing on evaluation rank theory field, it is a pool which is consist of evaluation results likelihood according to evaluation standard for evaluation object. $U = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_p)$. Amongst of them, element $u_k \cdot k = (1, 2, \cdots, p)$ is several possible evaluation results. $u_k$ may be the remark or evaluation rank for evaluation object. Here if $p$ is too big to judge rank vested easily, and if $p$ is too small to make entire description for evaluation object easily, commonly $p \in [4, 9]$ and $p = 4$ or $p = 5$ is more utility. In this paper evaluation field for entrepreneur ability capital is
As every element is determined by several sub-element of the lower selection, therefore, the single element evaluation for every element may be viewed as a lower multi-element comprehensive evaluation. The purpose of setting up multilevel element fuzzy comprehensive evaluation model is hoping for confirming the important degree relatively and enhancing nicety degree more conveniently in a lesser scope. For the problem of multilevel element model, method of request is consistent though element-level is apart of more or less. Now taking second-level for example, on the circumstance of considering two level element, second-level element fuzzy comprehensive evaluation is the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation for all elements of the first selection \( V_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m) \). Thus it can be seen, first-level element fuzzy comprehensive evaluation pool \( B_i \) is also evaluation matrix \( R \) for second-level fuzzy comprehensive evaluation, namely

\[
R = b_j = \begin{bmatrix}
    b_1 \\
    b_2 \\
    \vdots \\
    b_n
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    a_1 \circ R_1 \\
    a_2 \circ R_2 \\
    \vdots \\
    a_n \circ R_n
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Amongst of them, the subjection degree of \( u_k \) which is the evaluation result by the sub-element \( V_{ij} \) of the second selection element is \( r_{ik} (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n; j = 1, 2, \cdots, m; k = 1, 2, \cdots, p) \), so the evaluation matrix of the second selection element can be showed as below:

\[
R' = \begin{bmatrix}
    r_{i11} & r_{i12} & \cdots & r_{i1p} \\
    r_{i21} & r_{i22} & \cdots & r_{i2p} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    r_{im1} & r_{im2} & \cdots & r_{imp}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Amongst of them, the \( j \) row shows the consideration of evaluation pool of the second element of the \( j \) number sub-element, which is the fuzzy pool of the pool of \( U \). And then, when the pool of element weight coefficient \( A_i \) and evaluation matrix \( R' \) is given, you can gain fuzzy comprehensive evaluation pool \( B' \) according to the synthesis operation of fuzzy relationship, namely \( b_j = a_j \circ R' \) or
(b₁, b₂, ⋯, bₚ) = (a₁₁, a₁₂, ⋯, aₘₙ) \circ \begin{bmatrix} r_{111} & r_{112} & \cdots & r_{11p} \\ r_{211} & r_{212} & \cdots & r_{21p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{m11} & r_{m12} & \cdots & r_{m11} \end{bmatrix}

bₖ expressed the subjection degree of the evaluation object of the number k element vₖ for the evaluation pool of U in considering every sub-element vⱼ (j = 1, 2, ⋯, m) of determined element vᵢ for comprehensive evaluation in the second selection. Second level element fuzzy comprehensive evaluation is also the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation for all the elements vᵢ (i = 1, 2, ⋯, n) of the first selection. Thus it can be seen, first-level element fuzzy comprehensive evaluation pool \( B_i \) is also evaluation matrix for second-level fuzzy comprehensive evaluation, and then, second-level fuzzy comprehensive evaluation pool is as below:

\[ b = a \circ R = a \circ \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \circ R_1 \\ a_2 \circ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \circ R_n \end{bmatrix} = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n) \]

\( \circ \) adopts (\( \cdot, + \)). Adopting the arithmetic operators (\( \wedge, \lor \)) operation of Zadeh in the fuzzy relationship synthesis of the comprehensive evaluation model small operation (\( \wedge \)) gets value between the intersection of \( a_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \) and \( r_j (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n; j = 1, 2, \cdots, m) \), which value shouldn’t exceed the lesser between \( a_i \) and \( r_j \). When there are a large number of elements, as if weight coefficient \( a_i \) goes back to single, which value must be much lesser, it makes all \( r_j \) for \( r_j a_i \) be overlooked and \( a_i \) will be the upper limit of \( r_j \); In contrast, when the element is lesser and the value of \( a_i \) is greatness, it makes all \( a_i \) for \( a_i r_j \) be overlooked and \( r_j \) will be the upper limit of \( a_i \). Therefore, it is difficult to acquire reasonable evaluation result because of possibly losing lots of evaluation information which makes distortion as element is much more or much less, so fuzzy relationship synthesis “\( \circ \)” adopts (\( \cdot, + \)) evaluation model, which will consider effect of every element more sufficiently and reflect evaluation information completely. There isn’t necessary for implementing the limitation of upper limit besides requiring \( a_j \) going back to singleness in operation, and the result of the evaluation is finer than (\( \wedge, \lor \)).

Aiming at fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of entrepreneur ability capital, firstly, choosing evaluation indicator, such as evaluation indicator \( V_{11} = (V_{111}, V_{112}, V_{113}, V_{114}) = (\text{system and policy in organization, main work process for corporation, institution setup and section obligation, organization culture}) \).

Secondly, conducting expert judgement, speciality group (setting up ten person) computes statistical judgment result and evaluates order diagram comprehensively after conducting level judgement for the concrete structure element of the evaluation indicator \( V_{11} \), the fuzzy relationship matrix \( R_{11} \) from \( V_{11} \) to \( U \) is as below:
Evaluatation Order Diagram for $V_{11}$ Indicator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System and policy</td>
<td>$u_1$=4 $u_2=5$ $u_3=1$ $u_4=0$ $u_5=0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main work process</td>
<td>$u_1=0$ $u_2=2$ $u_3=3$ $u_4=0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution and obligation</td>
<td>$u_1=1$ $u_2=3$ $u_3=4$ $u_4=2$ $u_5=0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization culture</td>
<td>$u_1=5$ $u_2=4$ $u_3=1$ $u_4=0$ $u_5=0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$R_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determination of weight coefficient of the evaluation element is designed according to indicator program, every indicator is ordered according to the importance among the main indicator by experts, and the coefficient is determined according to order result. The weight coefficient $A_{11} = (a_{111}, a_{112}, a_{113}, a_{114})$ of $V_{111}, V_{112}, V_{113}, V_{114}$ is given by expert group. And $\sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{11j} = 1$.

Conducting classification evaluation again, evaluation result for the indicator of $V_{11}$ is $B_{11} = A_{11} \cdot R_{11} = (u_{111}, u_{112}, u_{113}, u_{114}, u_{115})$, evaluation result of choosing indicator $V_{12}, V_{13}$ is respectively as below:

$B_{12} = A_{12} \cdot R_{12} = (u_{121}, u_{122}, u_{123}, u_{124}, u_{125})$ \quad Fuzzy relationship matrix of the knowledge indicator from $V_{11}$ to $U$ is gained by $B_{11}, B_{12}, B_{13}$,

$B_{13} = A_{13} \cdot R_{13} = (u_{131}, u_{132}, u_{133}, u_{134}, u_{135})$

$R_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{111} & u_{112} & u_{113} & u_{114} & u_{115} \\ u_{121} & u_{122} & u_{123} & u_{124} & u_{125} \\ u_{131} & u_{132} & u_{133} & u_{134} & u_{135} \end{bmatrix}$ \quad Weight coefficient $A_{1} = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13})$ of $V_{11}, V_{12}, V_{13}$ is given by expert group. Evaluation result for indicator $V_{1}$ is: $B_{1} = A_{1} \cdot R_{1} = (u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}, u_{15})$.

In the same truth, the evaluation result of intelligence indicator $V_{2}$, quality indicator $V_{3}$ and health indicator $V_{4}$ is gained respectively as below:

$B_{2} = A_{2} \cdot R_{2} = (u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{24}, u_{25})$

$B_{3} = A_{3} \cdot R_{3} = (u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}, u_{35})$

$B_{4} = A_{4} \cdot R_{4} = (u_{41}, u_{42}, u_{43}, u_{44}, u_{45})$

Fuzzy relationship matrix of the comprehensive evaluation indicator of entrepreneur ability capital from $V$ to $U$ is gained by:
Weight \( V \) is determined by expert group. Evaluation result of the comprehensive evaluation indicator \( V \) for entrepreneur ability capital is as below:

\[
B = A \cdot R = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5).
\]

Finally, conducting comprehensive evaluation, as the indicator system of entrepreneur ability capital has the character of multi-level framework, therefore, multi-level fuzzy comprehensive evaluation model should be applied for evaluation. Utilizing comprehensive evaluation model of entrepreneur ability capital figures out the comprehensive value of entrepreneur ability capital, which can make you know the evaluation level of every structure element of entrepreneur ability capital and can become as the basis of selecting, training and employing for entrepreneur of enterprise.

The paper makes up entrepreneur ability capital evaluation indicator system according to the principle of the constitution element of entrepreneur ability capital, the evaluation acquired better effect in applying fuzzy mathematics and AHP for the entrepreneur ability system, it overcame the abuse of the great influence of the subject element ever in the course of the evaluation for entrepreneur ability capital, therefore, it can gain the more objective result from more exact evaluation.
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