The Research on Performance of Industrial Innovation System

RECHERCHE SUR LA PERFORMANCE DU SYSTÈME D'INNOVATION INDUSTRIEL

Li Qingdong¹ Zhao Shukuan²

Abstract: The concept of industrial innovation system has been applied for explaining industrial development power. How about is operating ability and performance of an industrial innovation system? How evaluates performance of an industrial innovation system? Those problems raise attention of scholars and governors. The paper puts forward indicators system and method for evaluating performance of industrial innovation system. We evaluate 29 industrial innovation system applying those indicators and method. We conclude: 1st, Different industries have different operating ability and they have different performance. 2nd, If synthesizing ability of an industrial innovation system is stronger, this is not meaning its each part stronger. 3rd, There is not a model that can fit each industrial innovation system.

Key words: Industrial innovation system, Principal Component Analysis, Indicators

Résumé: Le concept de système d'innovation industriel a été appliqué pour expliquer la capacité de développement industriel. Comment sont la capacité opérationnelle et la performance d'un système d'innovation industriel ? Comment évaluer sa performance ? Ces questions attirent l'attention des savants et des gouverneurs. L'article présent propose un système d'indicateurs et une méthode pour l'évaluation de la performance du système d'innovation industriel. A la suite de l'évaluation de 29 systèmes d'innovation industriels qui appliquent ces indicateurs et méthode, on tire les conclusions suivantes : 1st, De différentes industries ont de différentes capacités opérationnelles. 2nd, Le fait que la capacité synthétique d'un système d'innovation industriel est grande ne signifie pas que chacune de ses parts est forte. 3rd, Il n'y a pas une méthode universelle qui peut s'adapter à touts les systèmes d'innovation industriels.

Mots-Clés: système d'innovation industriel, composante principale d'analyse

1. INTRODUCTION

There is very little innovation system literature about the performance of industrial innovation systems(IIS). There may be several reasons for this. One is certainly the difficulty of measuring performance of industrial innovation system: what indicators should be used? What indicates high or low performance? The second, this requires a lot of data that are difficult to obtain. The last, there is little formal modeling in the industrial innovation system literature. We still lack understanding of how to measure success. There are still much to be done. The purpose of this essay is analyzing the performance of industrial innovation system making use of Principal Component Analysis. For the sake of clarity, only the manufacturing industries will be considered. The paper is organized as follows. We begin with a discussion of the meaning of industrial innovation system. Next, we introduce indicators that can map the performance of industrial innovation system. Third, the method of Principal Component Analysis will be interpreted. The end, we analyze the performance of 29 industrial innovation systems in china.

¹ Liaoning University of Petroleum & Chemical Technology, China.

² School of management, JiLin University, China.

^{*} Received 19 July 2007; accepted 15 September 2007

2. THE MEANING AND INDICATORS OF INDUSTRIAL INNOVATION SYSTEM

The systems approach to the analysis of economic and technological change is not new. A system may be defined as "a set or arrange of things so related or connected as to form a unity or organic whole". systems(Breschi Industrial innovation and Malerba, 1997; Malerba and Orsenigo, 1990, 1993, 1995) are based on the idea that different sectors and industries operate under different technological regimes which are characterized by particular combinations of opportunity and appropriability condition, degrees of cumulativeness of technological knowledge, and characteristics of the relevant knowledge base. Malerba(2002)points out that the industrial innovation system has a specific knowledge base, technologies, inputs and demand. The agents of the industrial innovation system, including individuals and organizations at various levels of aggregation, interact through processes of communication, exchange, co-operation, competition and command. An industrial innovation system undergoes change and transformation through the co-evolution of its various elements. Another system definition is built around the concept of local industrial systems as represented in AnnaLee Saxenian (1994) study of the electronics industry in Silicom Valley in California and along Route 128 in Massachusetts. Here, the system definition is primarily geographical. The focus is on differences in culture and competition which have led to differences among the two regions in the degree of hierarchy and concentration, experimentation, collaboration, and collective learning which, in turn, have entailed differences in the capacity to adjust to changing circumstances in technology and markets.

An industrial innovation system has a number of different types of actors: firms, organization, policy bodies, venture capitalists, etc. To evaluate the performance of a industrial innovation system, we need choose a number of indicators that is available to measure ability of generation, diffusion and use of knowledge and technology.

We will focus four groups of aspects in the way to mapping and measuring the performance of industrial innovation systems. Those groups are as follows:

1st. Precondition for innovation

We will consider two sorts of innovation preconditions: first market conditions and next industrial foundation. Market conditions include indictors: revenue(X_1), margin(X_2), gross personnel(X_3). Industrial foundation includes indictors: industrial production value(X_4), gross capital(X_5), production value added(X_6).

2nd. Inputs into the system

A good supply of inputs is also a precondition for

systems functioning well. We will take five indicators for inputs into the system: R&D personnel(X_7), R&D expenditure(X_8), the expenditure for foreign technology(X_9), the expenditure for absorbing foreign technology(X_{10}), the expenditure for domestic technology(X_{11}).

3rd. System structure

It has been known for long now that the characteristics of an industry affect the direction, nature, ability and intension of innovation. To understand well an industrial innovation system behavior it is pertinent to have information about how the economic activity is distributed among industries with different R&D and knowledge intensities. We will think about five indicators for system structure: the number of enterprises that innovate $alone(X_{12})$, the proportion of enterprises that innovate $alone(X_{13})$, the proportion of R&D personnel(X_{14}), the proportion of R&D expenditure(X_{15}).

4th. System outputs

The major outputs of a system have naturally to do with the performance of innovation system but also with diffusion, i.e. with the circulation and spreading of knowledge and new technologies among the different parts of the system. There are three indicators for system outputs: production value of new production(X_{16}), the amount of patent(X_{17}), exports(X_{18}).

3. THE METHOD OF PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

Main processes:

3.1 constructing data matrix

The number of evaluating object that we suppose is n, The number of evaluating indicators is k. so we get data matrix X.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}x_{12}...x_{1k} \\ x_{21}x_{22}...x_{2k} \\ \\ x_{n1}x_{n2}...x_{nk} \end{bmatrix}$$

3.2 Standardization of data

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} \Box \\ x_{ij} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times k}$$

$$\ddagger \oplus : \quad x_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - x_j}{s_j}$$

其中:

$$x_{j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij} \quad s_{j} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{ij} - x_{j} \right)^{2}}$$

3.3 Correlation matrix

We can get correlation matrix from standardization matrix Z.

$$R = \left[r_{ij} \right]_{k \times k} = \frac{Z^T Z}{n - 1}$$

$$\ddagger \psi: \quad r_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} Z_{it} Z_{ij}}{n - 1} \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

3.4 Computing Eigenvalue

Based on equation of correlation matrix $|R - \lambda I_k| = 0$, we can get k eigenvalue,

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$$
.

3.5 Conforming principal component

According to $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j / \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j \ge 0.85$, we confirm m

principal component, based on eigenvalue, from bigger to smaller, we can get m eigenvectors. After standardization, we get linearity combination of each principal component: $F_1, F_2, \dots F_m$.

3.6 Constructing synthetical evaluating function

Selecting *m* principal component, we can get synthetical

evaluating function:
$$F = \frac{\lambda_1}{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i} F_1 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_m}{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i} F_m$$
.

4. ANALYZING THE PERFORMANCE OF 29 INDUSTRIAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS

This section starts with a presentation of a principal component analysis for 29 industrial innovation systems. Table 1 below shows the data of each system indicators. (the sources of data come from china statistics yearbook 2006 and china industry economy statistics yearbook 2006).

4.1 Data processing

After Standardization of data, we can compute correlation coefficient table(see table 2)

We can see that there is stronger relativity between indicators revenue(X_1), industrial production value(X_4), gross capital(X_5), production value added(X_6) from table 2. This shows that there are redundant information between them.

Eigenvalues and variance cumulative see table 3.

4.2 Principal proponent linearity model

From table 3, we can see that the former 3 Eigenvalues cumulative is $89.788\% \ge 85\%$, so we can take F_1 , F_2 , F_3 place of 18 indicators to evaluating performance of industrial innovation system. After standardization, corresponding indicators coefficient see table 4.

We can get principal component equation from table 4.

$$\begin{split} F_1 = &0.26 \, X_1 + 0.24 \, X_2 + 0.18 \, X_3 + 0.26 \, X_4 + 0.26 \, X_5 + 0.26 \, X_4 + 0.27 \, X_7 + 0.27 \, X_8 + 0.26 \, X_9 \\ + &0.25 \, X_{10} + 0.15 \, X_{11} + 0.24 \, X_{12} + 0.13 \, X_{13} + 0.21 \, X_{14} + 0.21 \, X_{15} + 0.26 \, X_{16} + 0.24 \, X_{17} + 0.23 \, X_{18} \\ F_2 = &- &0.24 \, X_1 - 0.13 X_2 - 0.3 \, X_3 - 0.23 X_4 - 0.18 \, X_5 - 0.23 \, X_4 + 0.08 X_7 + 0.03 \, X_8 - 0.07 \, X_9 \\ + &0.02 \, X_{10} - &0.17 \, X_{11} + &0.14 \, X_{12} + &0.55 \, X_{13} + &0.38 \, X_{14} + &0.4 \, X_{15} + &0.06 X_1 + &0.14 X_{17} + &0.02 \, X_{18} \\ F_3 = &0.005 \, X_1 + &0.27 \, X_2 - &0.09 \, X_3 + &0.05 \, X_4 + &0.2 \, X_5 + &0.05 \, X_4 - &0.06 \, X_7 - &0.09 \, X_8 - &0.02 \, X_9 \\ - &0.28 \, X_{10} + &0.6 X_{11} - &0.02 X_{12} + &0.24 X_{15} + &0.2 \, X_{14} + &0.12 \, X_{15} - &0.12 X_{16} - &0.32 \, X_{17} - &0.44 \, X_{18} \end{split}$$

Principal component synthesizing model F:

$$F = \frac{\lambda_1}{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i} F_1 + \ldots + \frac{\lambda_m}{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i} F_m$$

=0.788F1+0.126F2+0.086F3

Among the Model F1, coefficients of X7, X8 and X16 are bigger than others, they are R&D personnel(X7), R&D expenditure(X8) and production value of new production(X16), so we can take F1 as input-output ability. Among the Model F2, coefficients of X13, X14 and X15 are bigger than others, they are the proportion of enterprises that innovate alone(X13), the proportion of R&D personnel(X14), the proportion

of R&D expenditure(X15), so we can take F2 as optimizing ability of system structure. Among the Model F3, coefficients of X2 and X5 are bigger than others, they are margin(X2) and gross capital(X5), so we can take F3 as foundation condition of industrial innovation systems.

4.3 Compute synthesizing scores

(for details see table 5).

5. CONCLUSION

This research, based on the methodology of principal component analysis method and system theory, proposes a model and evaluating indicators system of industrial innovation system. We evaluated the performance of 29 industrial innovation systems. Combining the evaluating result and empirical finding, we can conclude:

Different industries have different operating ability and they have different performance.

If synthesizing ability of an industrial innovation system is stronger, this is not meaning its each part stronger.

There is not a model that can fit each industrial innovation system.

Table 1 The data of 29 industrial innovation systems

| sector | X1 | X ₂ | X ₃ | X4 | X ₅ | X ₆ |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| food processing | 10366.49 | - | 2225500 | 10614.95 | · · | - |
| food manufacture | 3665.75 | | | 3779.39 | | |
| beverage manufacture | 3055.28 | | | 3089.27 | | |
| tobacco manufacture | 2850.84 | 406.54 | 196700 | 2840.74 | 3261.78 | 2840.74 |
| textile | 12374.53 | 437.13 | 5909600 | 12671.65 | 10357.97 | 12671.65 |
| costume shoes and cap manufacture | 4780 | 206.16 | 3460600 | 4974.63 | 3188.77 | 4974.63 |
| leather fur and feather and their goods | 3315.94 | 138.5 | 2288400 | 3462.79 | 1955.44 | 3462.79 |
| wood process and bamboo gross goods | 1749.45 | 82.43 | 833300 | 1827.71 | 1338.73 | 1827.71 |
| furniture manufacture | 1387.39 | 61.89 | 712700 | 1427.26 | 1032.78 | 1427.26 |
| paper making and paper goods | 4034.25 | 194.04 | 1301400 | 4161.33 | 4660 | 4161.33 |
| printing and intermediary | 1386.55 | 93.19 | 669000 | 1442.96 | 1772.83 | 1442.96 |
| culture and education manufacture | 1437.53 | 52.47 | 1098000 | 1482.5 | 1014.56 | 1482.5 |
| oil processing | 12030.52 | -119.27 | 744000 | 12000.49 | 6490.77 | 12000.49 |
| chemical material and goods manufacture | 16165.21 | 991.06 | 3399900 | 16359.66 | 15175.85 | 16359.66 |
| medicine manufacture | 4019.83 | 338.2 | 1234400 | 4250.45 | 5549.83 | 4250.45 |
| chemical fiber manufacture | 2567 | 46.2 | 426300 | 2608.39 | 2461.41 | 2608.39 |
| rubber manufacture | 2144.22 | 105.19 | 796400 | 2196.74 | 1956.28 | 2196.74 |
| plastic manufacture | 4944.73 | 215.79 | 1832800 | 5067.89 | 4432.38 | 5067.89 |
| nonmetal mineral goods | 8846.49 | 420.35 | 4181800 | 9195.24 | 10370.69 | 9195.24 |
| black metal smelting and processing | 21594.05 | 1067.44 | 2874900 | 21470.98 | 18950.65 | 21470.98 |
| coloured metal smelting and processing | 7845.56 | 426.83 | 1307400 | 7937.95 | 6569.49 | 7937.95 |
| metal manufacture | 6394.35 | 314.23 | 2232300 | 6556.76 | 4769.27 | 6556.76 |
| standard equipment manufacture | 10197.83 | 625.26 | 3551200 | 10610.37 | 9886.06 | 10610.37 |
| special equipment manufacture | 5932.97 | 324.65 | 2198900 | 6085.43 | 6391.13 | 6085.43 |
| transportation equipment manufacture | 15562.6 | 664.01 | 3524000 | 15714.86 | 16108.05 | 15714.86 |
| electric machine and equipment manufacture | 13363.92 | 640.17 | 3672100 | 13901.29 | 11062.69 | 13901.29 |
| communication equipment and computer manufacture | 26844.02 | 891.69 | 4396400 | 26994.38 | 18063.24 | 26994.38 |
| instrument and official machine manufacture | 2735 | 154.35 | 886800 | 2781.05 | 2226.09 | 2781.05 |
| craftwork and other manufacture | 1969.7 | 90.82 | 1255100 | 2035.68 | 1365.98 | 2035.68 |

| Table 2 Correlation Matrix | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
| | x1 | x2 | x3 | x4 | хĴ | хб | x 7 | x8 | x9 |
| x1 | 1.000 | .835 | .697 | 1.000 | .953 | 1.000 | .829 | .866 | .879 |
| x2 | .835 | 1.000 | .654 | .838 | .908 | .838 | .760 | .777 | .751 |
| x3 | . 69 7 | .654 | 1.000 | .708 | .724 | .708 | .618 | .563 | .458 |
| x4 | 1.000 | .838 | .708 | 1.000 | .954 | 1.000 | .832 | .866 | .874 |
| хS | .953 | .908 | .724 | .954 | 1.000 | .954 | .850 | .853 | .843 |
| хб | 1.000 | .838 | .708 | 1.000 | .954 | 1.000 | .832 | .866 | .874 |
| x7 | .829 | .760 | .618 | .832 | .850 | .832 | 1.000 | .968 | .891 |
| x8 | .866 | .777 | .563 | .866 | .853 | .866 | .968 | 1.000 | .954 |
| x9 | .879 | .751 | .458 | .874 | .843 | .874 | .891 | .954 | 1.000 |
| x10 | .801 | .650 | .486 | .801 | .728 | .801 | .884 | .948 | .917 |
| x11 | .595 | .673 | .269 | .588 | .686 | .588 | .442 | .500 | .602 |
| x12 | .692 | .725 | .714 | .702 | .767 | .702 | .872 | .781 | .601 |
| x13 | .197 | .368 | .009 | .200 | .305 | .200 | .489 | .410 | .278 |
| x14 | .556 | .561 | .163 | .554 | .609 | .554 | .774 | .733 | .687 |
| x15 | .483 | .568 | .290 | .486 | .583 | .486 | .800 | .753 | .622 |
| x16 | .801 | .675 | .529 | .802 | .793 | .802 | .960 | .969 | .919 |
| x17 | .695 | .593 | .543 | .702 | .657 | .702 | .878 | .894 | .749 |
| x18 | .708 | .521 | .479 | .710 | .590 | .710 | .794 | .865 | .813 |

Table 2 Correlation Matrix

Table 2-b

| | x10 | x11 | x12 | x13 | x14 | x15 | x16 | x17 | x18 |
|------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| x1 | .801 | .595 | .692 | .197 | .556 | .483 | .801 | .695 | .708 |
| x2 | .650 | .673 | .725 | .368 | .561 | .568 | .675 | .593 | .521 |
| x3 | .486 | .269 | .714 | .009 | .163 | .290 | .529 | .543 | .479 |
| x4 | .801 | .588 | .702 | .200 | .554 | .486 | .802 | .702 | .710 |
| хS | .728 | .686 | .767 | .305 | .609 | .583 | .793 | .657 | .590 |
| хб | .801 | .588 | .702 | .200 | .554 | .486 | .802 | .702 | .710 |
| x 7 | .884 | .442 | .872 | .489 | .774 | .800 | .960 | .878 | .794 |
| x8 | .948 | .500 | .781 | .410 | .733 | .753 | .969 | .894 | .865 |
| x9 | .917 | .602 | .601 | .278 | .687 | .622 | .919 | .749 | .813 |
| x10 | 1.000 | .311 | . 6 77 | .330 | .633 | .638 | .903 | .889 | .960 |
| x11 | .311 | 1.000 | .273 | .181 | .434 | .401 | .453 | .182 | .117 |
| x12 | .677 | .273 | 1.000 | .598 | .652 | .787 | .750 | .818 | .608 |
| x13 | .330 | .181 | .598 | 1.000 | .836 | .809 | .388 | .446 | .254 |
| x14 | .633 | .434 | .652 | .836 | 1.000 | .860 | .735 | .627 | .525 |
| x15 | .638 | .401 | .787 | .809 | .860 | 1.000 | .735 | .733 | .540 |
| x16 | .903 | .453 | .750 | .388 | .735 | .735 | 1.000 | .876 | .818 |
| x17 | .889 | .182 | .818 | .446 | .627 | .733 | .876 | 1.000 | .885 |
| x18 | .960 | .117 | .608 | .254 | .525 | .540 | .818 | .885 | 1.000 |

| Component | Initial Eigenvalues | | | Extraction Sums of Squared Loading | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % | | |
| 1 | 12.737 | 70.760 | 70.760 | 12.737 | 70.760 | 70.760 | | |
| 2 | 2.040 | 11.336 | 82.096 | 2.040 | 11.336 | 82.096 | | |
| 3 | 1.385 | 7.692 | 89.788 | 1.385 | 7.692 | 89.788 | | |
| 4 | .934 | 5.186 | 94.974 | | | | | |
| 5 | .322 | 1.787 | 96.761 | | | | | |
| 6 | .208 | 1.156 | 97.917 | | | | | |
| 7 | .120 | .666 | 98.583 | | | | | |
| 8 | .106 | .591 | 99.174 | | | | | |
| 9 | .066 | .364 | 99.538 | | | | | |
| 10 | .035 | .196 | 99.735 | | | | | |
| 11 | .019 | .105 | 99.839 | | | | | |
| 12 | .014 | .078 | 99.917 | | | | | |
| 13 | .006 | .034 | 99.951 | | | | | |
| 14 | .005 | .028 | 99.980 | | | | | |
| 15 | .002 | .013 | 99.992 | | | | | |
| 16 | .001 | .008 | 100.000 | | | | | |
| 17 | 1.91E-005 | .000 | 100.000 | | | | | |
| 18 | -7.23E-019 | -4.01E-018 | 100.000 | | | | | |

Table 3 Total Variance Explained

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 4 Corresponding indicator coefficient

| | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 |
|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| x1 | 0.26 | -0.24 | 0.05 |
| x2 | 0.24 | -0.13 | 0.27 |
| хЗ | 0.18 | -0.3 | -0.09 |
| x4 | 0.26 | -0.23 | 0.05 |
| хS | 0.26 | -0.18 | 0.2 |
| хб | 0.26 | -0.23 | 0.05 |
| x7 | 0.27 | 0.08 | -0.06 |
| x8 | 0.27 | 0.03 | -0.09 |
| x9 | 0.26 | -0.07 | -0.02 |
| x10 | 0.25 | 0.02 | -0.28 |
| x11 | 0.15 | -0.17 | 0.6 |
| x12 | 0.24 | 0.14 | -0.02 |
| x13 | 0.13 | 0.55 | 0.24 |
| x14 | 0.21 | 0.38 | 0.2 |
| x15 | 0.21 | 0.4 | 0.12 |
| x16 | 0.26 | 0.06 | -0.12 |
| x17 | 0.24 | 0.14 | -0.32 |
| x18 | 0.23 | 0.02 | -0.44 |

| Industry | F1 score | ranking | F2 score | ranking | F3 score | ranking | F score | ranking |
|---|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| food processing (I1) | -1.12 | 13 | -1.91 | 27 | 0.02 | 11 | -1.12 | 16 |
| food manufacture (I2) | -2.13 | 23 | -0.08 | 13 | -0.25 | 18 | -1.71 | 22 |
| beverage manufacture (I3) | -1.8 | 17 | 0.69 | 9 | 0.001 | 12 | -1.33 | 17 |
| tobacco manufacture(I4) | -1.57 | 16 | 1.88 | 4 | 1.11 | 4 | -0.9 | 13 |
| textile(I5) | 0.97 | 8 | -2.25 | 28 | -0.15 | 14 | 0.47 | 9 |
| costume shoes and cap manufacture(16) | -2 | 20 | -1.45 | 25 | -0.66 | 24 | -1.82 | 23 |
| leather fur and feather and their goods (I7) | -2.71 | 24 | -1.2 | 24 | -0.77 | 26 | -2.35 | 25 |
| wood process and bamboo gross goods(I8) | -3.01 | 27 | -0.16 | 15 | -0.57 | 23 | -2.44 | 26 |
| furniture manufacture(I9) | -3.24 | 29 | -0.41 | 19 | -0.76 | 25 | -2.67 | 29 |
| paper making and paper goods(I10) | -1.98 | 19 | -0.3 | 18 | -0.19 | 17 | -1.61 | 21 |
| printing and intermediary(I11) | -3.01 | 28 | -0.23 | 17 | -0.52 | 21 | -2.44 | 27 |
| culture and education manufacture(I12) | -2.99 | 26 | -0.18 | 16 | -0.81 | 28 | -2.45 | 28 |
| oil processing(I13) | -1.04 | 12 | -0.83 | 22 | -0.05 | 13 | -0.93 | 14 |
| chemical material and goods manufacture(I14) | 3.53 | 5 | -0.97 | 23 | 1.28 | 3 | 2.77 | 5 |
| medicine manufacture(I15) | 0.65 | 9 | 3.16 | 1 | 1.29 | 2 | 1.02 | 8 |
| chemical fiber manufacture(I16) | -2.02 | 21 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.2 | 10 | -1.39 | 18 |
| rubber manufacture(I17) | -2.1 | 22 | 1.01 | 7 | -0.17 | 16 | -1.54 | 19 |
| plastic manufacture(I18) | -1.91 | 18 | -0.64 | 21 | -0.33 | 20 | -1.61 | 20 |
| nonmetal mineral goods(I19) | -0.05 | 11 | -1.59 | 26 | -0.15 | 15 | -0.26 | 11 |
| black metal smelting and processing(I20) | 5.35 | 3 | -3.08 | 29 | 3.85 | 1 | 4.16 | 3 |
| coloured metal smelting and processing(I21) | 0.08 | 10 | 0.53 | 11 | 0.71 | 7 | 0.19 | 10 |
| metal manufacture(I22) | -1.15 | 14 | -0.54 | 20 | -0.3 | 19 | -1 | 15 |
| standard equipment manufacture(I23) | 2.68 | 6 | 0.69 | 10 | 0.3 | 8 | 2.23 | 6 |
| special equipment manufacture(I24) | 1.05 | 7 | 2.32 | 2 | 0.74 | 6 | 1.18 | 7 |
| transportation equipment manufacture(I25) | 7.01 | 2 | 1.24 | б | 0.88 | 5 | 5.75 | 2 |
| electric machine and equipment manufacture(I26) | 4.58 | 4 | 0.77 | 8 | -0.79 | 27 | 3.64 | 4 |
| communication equipment and computer manufacture(I27) | 12.08 | 1 | 0.08 | 12 | -3.66 | 29 | 9.22 | 1 |
| instrument and official machine manufacture(I28) | -1.35 | 15 | 2.06 | 3 | 0.28 | 9 | -0.78 | 12 |
| craftwork and other manufacture(I29) | -2.83 | 25 | -0.12 | 14 | -0.52 | 22 | -2.29 | 24 |

Table 5 Synthesizing scores of 29 industrial innovation system

REFERENCES

- Breschi, S., Malerba, F.. Sectoral Systems of Innovation: Technological Regimes, Schumpeterian Dynamics and Spatial Boundaries. *System of Innovation*. London: Frances printer, 1997.
- Metcalfe, J.S. and I.Miles, Eds. *Innovayion Systems in the Service Economy: M easurement and Ccase Study Analysis*. Boston: kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Carlsson, B., Ed.. *Technological Systems in the Bio Industries: an International Study*. Boston: kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.

Franco Malerba. Sectoral systems of innovation and production. Research Policy, 2002(31),247-264.

THE AUTHORS

Li Qingdong, vice-professor, Liaoning University of Petroleum & Chemical Technology, Fushun Liaoning,113001, P.R. China. Studying in JiLin University for Ph.D.

Email: liqingdong@163.com

Zhao Shukuan, Professor, School of management, JiLin University, Changchun Jilin, 130025, P.R. China.