

## Explaining the Role of U.S. Space and Satellite Power in Enhancing the Geopolitical Weight of the United States

Mohsen Zamani<sup>[a],\*</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup> PhD in Political Geography (Political Organization of Space),  
University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

\*Corresponding author.

Received 19 January 2026; accepted 20 February 2026

Published online 26 February 2026

### Abstract

Space power has, in recent decades, become one of the fundamental components of national power. The United States, possessing the most advanced space infrastructure, extensive satellite networks, and superior technological and military capabilities, has significantly strengthened its geopolitical position within the international system. This article aims to explain the role of U.S. space and satellite power in enhancing the country's geopolitical weight. It examines the interconnections between space technologies, national security, international political economy, and the global power structure. The central research question is how, and through which mechanisms, U.S. space power has contributed to the elevation of its geopolitical status at regional and global levels.

Within its theoretical framework, the study draws upon modern geopolitics, the theory of smart power, and the concept of “outer space as a strategic domain.” It argues that space is no longer merely a scientific or technological arena but has evolved into a decisive field in great-power competition. Through the development of satellite systems in communications, navigation (GPS), reconnaissance and intelligence surveillance, meteorology, early warning, and precision-guided weapons, the United States has constructed a multilayered network of informational and operational superiority that consolidates its geopolitical dominance. In this sense, outer space has become the invisible yet vital infrastructure underpinning the U.S.-centered international order.

From a security-military perspective, U.S. space capabilities play a crucial role in enhancing Command, Control, Communications, Computers,

Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR). Reconnaissance and intelligence satellites enable global monitoring of rival military movements, while navigation and communication satellites significantly increase the precision of military operations and coordination of forces. This informational advantage not only strengthens U.S. deterrence but also expands its capacity for intervention and crisis management worldwide. The establishment of the United States Space Force further reflects the institutionalization of space as an independent operational domain within America's grand strategy of national security.

Economically, U.S. satellite power constitutes the backbone of the global digital economy. Satellite-based communication infrastructures support internet services, financial transactions, global transportation, and supply chain management. American corporations such as SpaceX, Boeing, and Lockheed Martin—alongside other private-sector actors—have integrated technological capitalism with national strategy, generating a form of “corporate geopolitics” that simultaneously advances U.S. economic and geopolitical interests. Consequently, space power has emerged as a primary driver of American economic hegemony.

From the perspective of information geopolitics, U.S. dominance over space infrastructure has enabled it to produce and distribute geospatial data and strategic information on a global scale. Such data play a key role in agriculture, energy, climate change monitoring, disaster management, and urban planning, thereby increasing other countries' dependence on U.S. space services. This technological dependency functions as a subtle yet effective instrument of political influence and alliance-building. In other words, U.S. space power operates not only as a tool of hard power but also as a mechanism of soft and smart power projection.

At the level of grand geopolitical competition, U.S. space superiority plays a decisive role in balancing power

with rivals such as China and Russia. The development of anti-satellite systems, competition over satellite constellations, and efforts toward the militarization of space demonstrate that outer space has become a central arena of great-power rivalry. Nevertheless, the United States maintains a leading position due to its historical experience, extensive alliance networks, innovative private sector, and substantial research and development budgets. This advantage enhances America's geopolitical weight in international negotiations, rule-making processes in space governance, and the shaping of legal regimes related to outer space.

The findings indicate that U.S. space power increases the country's geopolitical weight through three principal mechanisms: first, by establishing informational and operational superiority in the security-military domain; second, by consolidating America's position in the global economy through control of critical space-based infrastructures; and third, by expanding political and technological influence through other states' dependence on U.S. satellite services and data. The interaction of these three dimensions generates strategic synergy, reinforcing the United States' position at the apex of the global power hierarchy.

In conclusion, outer space has become a key dimension of contemporary geopolitics, and the United States, recognizing its significance early on, has integrated space power into the core of its grand strategy. Space power serves not only as an instrument for strengthening U.S. military and economic capabilities but also as a mechanism for reproducing and sustaining a U.S.-centered international order. Therefore, future shifts in the global balance of power will be closely linked to developments in the space domain and competition over the control of satellite infrastructures.

**Key words:** Space Power; Satellites; Geopolitics; United States; National Security; Global Hegemony

---

Zamani, M. (2026). Explaining the Role of U.S. Space and Satellite Power in Enhancing the Geopolitical Weight of the United States. *Canadian Social Science*, 22(1), -28. Available from: <http://www.cscanada.net/index.php/css/article/view/14013> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/14013>

---

## INTRODUCTION

In the twenty-first century, outer space has evolved from a symbolic arena of technological prestige into a central domain of geopolitical competition and strategic power projection. The rapid expansion of satellite technologies, the commercialization of space activities, and the institutionalization of military space commands have transformed outer space into a decisive pillar of national power. Among global actors, the United States has maintained a leading position in the exploration,

militarization, and commercialization of space, integrating space capabilities into its broader grand strategy. As a result, space and satellite power have become critical instruments in enhancing the geopolitical weight of the United States within the international system (Dolman, 2002; Oberg, 2019).

Classical geopolitics emphasized control over land and sea as the foundation of global power (Mackinder, 1904; Mahan, 1890). Later, airpower emerged as a decisive strategic dimension in the twentieth century (Douhet, 1921). In contemporary geopolitics, however, outer space represents the newest strategic frontier. Scholars of "astropolitics" argue that space has become the ultimate high ground, providing unparalleled advantages in surveillance, communication, navigation, and force projection (Dolman, 2002; Lutes & Hitchens, 2011). Control of space-based infrastructure allows states not only to enhance military effectiveness but also to influence economic systems, information flows, and global governance mechanisms. Thus, the geopolitical logic of the twenty-first century increasingly revolves around orbital infrastructures and satellite constellations.

The United States recognized the strategic significance of space during the Cold War, when the launch of Sputnik by the Soviet Union in 1957 triggered a technological and geopolitical race. In response, Washington invested heavily in space exploration and military satellite systems, establishing NASA in 1958 and expanding reconnaissance and early-warning programs (McDougall, 1985). Over time, the U.S. developed a comprehensive space architecture encompassing communication satellites, Global Positioning System (GPS), missile early-warning systems, intelligence and reconnaissance platforms, and weather-monitoring networks. These systems became deeply embedded in both civilian and military domains, reinforcing American strategic superiority (O'Hanlon, 2018).

From a theoretical perspective, the integration of space capabilities into national strategy can be understood through the framework of smart power, which combines hard and soft power resources (Nye, 2004; Nye, 2011). Satellite systems enhance military precision and deterrence (hard power), while simultaneously supporting global economic connectivity, disaster management, and scientific cooperation (soft power). This dual functionality strengthens U.S. influence across multiple dimensions of the international system. Moreover, the concept of "infrastructural power" suggests that states capable of controlling critical technological infrastructures—such as communication and navigation systems—can shape global interdependence patterns and establish structural dominance (Strange, 1996; Farrell & Newman, 2019). In this sense, U.S. space power constitutes a form of structural and network-based power embedded within global systems.

Militarily, space assets play a central role in modern warfare. Contemporary military doctrines rely heavily on Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems, many of which depend on satellite networks (Joint Chiefs of Staff, 2020). Precision-guided munitions, drone operations, missile defense systems, and global force deployment would be significantly impaired without reliable satellite navigation and communication. Recognizing these realities, the United States formally established the U.S. Space Force in 2019, institutionalizing space as an independent warfighting domain (U.S. Department of Defense, 2020). This move signaled that space superiority is no longer a supplementary capability but a core element of American national security strategy.

Economically, satellite infrastructures underpin the global digital economy. GPS supports transportation, aviation, maritime trade, logistics, and financial transactions worldwide. Satellite communications enable internet access, global broadcasting, and data transmission across continents. According to the Space Foundation (2023), the global space economy has expanded dramatically, with U.S. firms playing a dominant role. The rise of private companies such as SpaceX and Blue Origin further illustrates the fusion of state strategy and private innovation. This public-private synergy has reinforced U.S. leadership in launch capabilities, satellite deployment, and emerging sectors such as mega-constellations and space-based broadband (Weinzierl, 2018).

At the geopolitical level, space has become a contested domain among major powers. China's rapid expansion of its BeiDou navigation system and anti-satellite (ASAT) capabilities, alongside Russia's investments in counter-space technologies, reflects the intensification of strategic rivalry (Tellis, 2007; Johnson-Freese, 2017). These developments underscore the centrality of space in the emerging multipolar order. However, the United States continues to benefit from first-mover advantages, advanced technological ecosystems, extensive alliance networks, and the global diffusion of its satellite services. Many states rely on U.S.-provided GPS, satellite imagery, and communication systems, generating patterns of technological dependence that enhance American geopolitical leverage.

Furthermore, space governance and legal regimes constitute another dimension of geopolitical influence. Although the 1967 Outer Space Treaty established principles of peaceful use and non-appropriation, contemporary realities—such as commercialization, militarization, and the proliferation of private actors—have created new regulatory challenges (UNOOSA, 1967; Hobe, 2019). The United States has actively shaped emerging norms through initiatives such as the

Artemis Accords, reinforcing its normative leadership in space exploration and utilization. Norm-setting in space governance thus becomes an extension of geopolitical competition and a mechanism for consolidating strategic influence.

Given these dynamics, understanding the role of U.S. space and satellite power in enhancing the country's geopolitical weight is both timely and analytically significant. While much of the literature addresses military space strategy or commercial space development separately, fewer studies integrate these dimensions within a comprehensive geopolitical framework. This article seeks to fill that gap by analyzing how military, economic, technological, and normative aspects of U.S. space power interact to strengthen its structural position in the international system.

The central argument advanced in this study is that U.S. space and satellite power enhances the country's geopolitical weight through three interconnected mechanisms: (1) establishing military and informational superiority; (2) embedding American technological infrastructures within the global economy; and (3) shaping international norms and governance structures in outer space. By examining these mechanisms, the article contributes to broader debates on the transformation of power in the digital and space age. As global competition increasingly extends beyond terrestrial domains, space emerges not merely as an extension of geopolitics, but as one of its defining arenas in the twenty-first century.

---

## METHODOLOGY

---

This study employs a qualitative research design based on a descriptive-analytical method. Data were collected through library research, including academic books, peer-reviewed journal articles, official U.S. government documents, strategic reports, and international space policy sources. Using a geopolitical and smart power analytical framework, the study examines the military, economic, and normative dimensions of U.S. space capabilities to explain their role in enhancing the country's geopolitical weight in the international system.

### Theoretical Framework

#### 1. The Evolution of the Concept of Power in International Relations and Its Connection to Space

The concept of power is one of the most fundamental notions in theories of International Relations. In classical realism, power was primarily defined as the ability to impose one's will upon others (Morgenthau, 1948). Within this framework, material elements such as military capability, population, natural resources, and geographical position constituted the core foundations of national power. Structural realists later reconceptualized

power in terms of the distribution of capabilities within the international system (Waltz, 1979). However, with transformations in the global order and the emergence of advanced technologies, the concept of power has undergone significant redefinition.

In the late twentieth century, Joseph Nye introduced the concept of “soft power,” and later “smart power,” arguing that power is not limited to military instruments but also includes the ability to shape the preferences of others (Nye, 2004; Nye, 2011). Similarly, Susan Strange’s concept of “structural power” emphasized that control over key global economic and knowledge structures can generate deeper and more enduring forms of dominance (Strange, 1996).

In the digital era, this discussion evolved toward notions such as “network power” and “weaponized interdependence,” where control over critical global infrastructures—particularly informational infrastructures—becomes a means of geopolitical influence (Farrell & Newman, 2019). Within this theoretical context, U.S. space power can be understood as an advanced manifestation of this broader transformation, since outer space has become the foundational infrastructure of communication, navigation, intelligence, and the global economy.

## **2. Classical Geopolitics and the Transition to Space Geopolitics**

Classical geopolitics emphasized the relationship between geography and political power. Halford Mackinder, in his “Heartland Theory,” argued that control over Eurasia was the key to global dominance (Mackinder, 1904). Alfred Thayer Mahan highlighted the importance of sea power in shaping world order (Mahan, 1890). Later, Nicholas Spykman stressed the strategic importance of the Rimland.

With technological progress, the arena of geopolitical competition expanded from land and sea to air and eventually to space. Giulio Douhet underscored the importance of air superiority in the early twentieth century (Douhet, 1921). Subsequently, the literature of “astropolitics” emerged, conceptualizing space as the ultimate strategic high ground (Dolman, 2002). According to Dolman, just as control of the seas determined global power in the nineteenth century and air dominance shaped the twentieth century, control of space will define the balance of power in the twenty-first century.

In this sense, space is not merely a physical environment but has become an infrastructure for managing the Earth. Satellites have created a network of “orbital eyes,” enabling global surveillance, precision weapon guidance, crisis management, and control over information flows. Thus, space geopolitics represents a new phase in the evolution of geopolitics, where Earth’s orbit has become a strategic arena of competition.

## **3. Space as a Strategic Domain and Independent Operational Theater**

Contemporary security literature defines space as an operational domain alongside land, sea, air, and cyberspace (U.S. Department of Defense, 2020). This perspective assumes that dominance in space is a prerequisite for success in other domains. Modern warfare relies heavily on Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems, which depend extensively on satellite networks.

Deterrence theory also highlights the critical importance of accurate information and early warning systems (Jervis, 1976). U.S. space systems, by providing missile warning capabilities and monitoring adversaries’ military activities, strengthen both nuclear and conventional deterrence. In this framework, space power functions not only as an offensive instrument but also as a stabilizing component that influences strategic equilibrium.

## **4. Space Power and International Political Economy**

From a political economy perspective, space has become the foundational infrastructure of the digital economy. GPS, satellite communications, remote sensing, and geospatial data form the backbone of global transportation, smart agriculture, e-commerce, and international financial systems (Weinzierl, 2018). Consequently, states that control these infrastructures can significantly influence global economic flows.

The United States, through its control of the GPS system and its extensive network of space companies, has established a form of structural superiority in the space-based economy. This condition aligns with Strange’s theory of structural power (Strange, 1996). Moreover, the synergy between the U.S. government and private corporations represents a model of strategic capitalism in which geopolitical and economic interests are advanced simultaneously.

## **5. Great Power Competition and Space Security**

International security literature demonstrates that the emergence of new technological domains often triggers arms competition (Herz, 1950). The development of anti-satellite (ASAT) systems by China and Russia indicates that space has entered a phase of intensified strategic rivalry (Tellis, 2007; Johnson-Freese, 2017). In this context, “space security” has become a central pillar of U.S. defense policy.

Unlike traditional domains, however, the destruction of space infrastructure can generate global consequences affecting all actors. Therefore, theories of complex interdependence (Keohane & Nye, 1977) are also relevant to space analysis. The widespread global dependence on space services creates a form of technological mutual deterrence.

## 6. Space Governance and Normative Power

Space constitutes not only a material battleground but also a normative arena. The 1967 Outer Space Treaty established foundational principles for the peaceful use of outer space (UNOOSA, 1967). Nevertheless, contemporary developments—such as commercialization, militarization, and the rise of private actors—have created new regulatory challenges.

Initiatives such as the Artemis Accords illustrate U.S. efforts to shape emerging space governance regimes. From a constructivist perspective, norms and rules can shape state behavior (Wendt, 1999). Therefore, a state capable of setting the normative agenda in space exercises a form of soft and normative power. The United States, leveraging its alliances and technological capabilities, seeks to institutionalize a space order aligned with its strategic interests.

---

## RESEARCH FINDINGS

---

### 1. U.S. Space Power and the Consolidation of Informational Superiority in the International System

The first major finding of this study indicates that U.S. space power has directly contributed to the establishment and consolidation of global informational superiority. Within the framework of structural realism, the distribution of capabilities determines states' positions in the international system (Waltz, 1979). In the digital age, information and geospatial data have become critical strategic capabilities. The extensive network of U.S. reconnaissance, imaging, and signals intelligence satellites enables continuous monitoring of military movements, economic activities, and environmental changes worldwide.

By integrating remote sensing, early warning systems, and secure communication networks, U.S. space architecture has elevated American situational awareness to a level that competitors struggle to match. This informational advantage plays a decisive role not only in wartime operations but also in geopolitical crisis management, targeted sanctions, and hybrid warfare strategies (Jervis, 1976; Johnson-Freese, 2017).

Consequently, the findings demonstrate that U.S. space capabilities generate a form of “cognitive superiority,” enabling Washington to anticipate, interpret, and shape the security environment. This superiority enhances America's geopolitical weight in interactions with both allies and rivals, positioning it as a central actor in global power dynamics.

### 2. The Synergy of Hard and Soft Power: Space as Smart Power

The second finding reveals that U.S. space power functions not merely as a military instrument but as

an integrated component of smart power. Joseph Nye conceptualizes smart power as the strategic combination of hard and soft power resources (Nye, 2011). The Global Positioning System (GPS) provides a clear illustration of this synergy. While it enhances military precision through guided munitions and operational coordination, it simultaneously supports civilian sectors such as global transportation, trade, financial systems, and digital communications.

Global dependence on GPS and other U.S. satellite infrastructures has created multilayered technological reliance. This reliance generates soft influence without coercion, strengthening America's capacity to shape international behavior (Nye, 2004).

Thus, space serves not only as an instrument of coercive power but also as a platform for technological legitimacy and international cooperation. This multidimensional functionality reinforces U.S. geopolitical weight by embedding American infrastructures within global networks of dependence.

### 3. Space Power as Structural Power

Drawing upon Susan Strange's theory of structural power, states that control key structures of the global economy can shape the rules of international interaction (Strange, 1996). The findings indicate that U.S. space infrastructures have become foundational elements of the global economic structure.

Today, global trade, financial markets, banking systems, supply chains, and smart agriculture depend extensively on satellite data and positioning systems (Weinzierl, 2018). Through its dominance in satellite navigation, communications, and launch capabilities, the United States has established what may be termed “orbital structural power.”

This structural position enables the U.S. to influence global economic flows and, in times of crisis, potentially regulate access to space-based services. The concept of “weaponized interdependence” further supports this argument, suggesting that control over critical networks can become a tool of geopolitical leverage (Farrell & Newman, 2019).

### 4. Institutionalization of Space within U.S. Grand Strategy

The fourth finding highlights that the United States has institutionalized space as an independent operational domain through the establishment of the U.S. Space Force and the articulation of a formal Defense Space Strategy (U.S. Department of Defense, 2020). This institutional development elevates space from a supporting capability to a central pillar of national security.

In the context of great power competition, such institutionalization signals to rivals—particularly China and Russia—that space superiority constitutes a strategic red line for the United States (Tellis, 2007). Therefore,

America's geopolitical weight derives not only from technological capacity but also from doctrinal clarity and organizational coherence in space policy.

### **5. Space Competition and the Reconfiguration of the Global Balance of Power**

Another key finding demonstrates that outer space has become a principal arena of great power rivalry. China's development of the BeiDou navigation system and anti-satellite (ASAT) capabilities, alongside Russia's counter-space technologies, reflects the intensification of strategic competition beyond terrestrial domains (Johnson-Freese, 2017).

Nevertheless, the United States retains significant advantages, including first-mover benefits, a vast alliance network, technological ecosystems, and private-sector innovation. These advantages ensure that even amid rising competition, U.S. geopolitical weight in space remains predominant.

### **6. Space Governance and U.S. Normative Power**

The sixth finding underscores that the United States actively shapes emerging space governance regimes through initiatives such as the Artemis Accords. From a constructivist perspective, the ability to define norms and rules constitutes a form of normative power (Wendt, 1999).

By leveraging its technological leadership and alliance networks, the United States seeks to institutionalize regulatory frameworks aligned with its long-term strategic interests. This normative leadership enhances America's geopolitical influence within international space regimes.

### **7. The Interconnection Between Space Power and the Global Digital Economy**

The findings further reveal that the integration of space infrastructure with the global digital economy significantly strengthens U.S. structural dominance. Companies such as SpaceX and Lockheed Martin play central roles in satellite deployment, launch services, and emerging mega-constellation projects (Space Foundation, 2023).

The synergy between the U.S. government and private corporations represents a form of "geopolitical capitalism," in which economic competition and strategic rivalry are intertwined. This integration further amplifies U.S. geopolitical weight in the contemporary international order.

---

## **DISCUSSION & FINDINGS**

---

The analysis of U.S. space power reveals a multidimensional mechanism through which the country has enhanced its geopolitical weight in the contemporary international system. At the core of this dynamic is the ability of the United States to establish and maintain informational superiority on a global scale. The extensive network of reconnaissance, communication, and

navigation satellites allows continuous monitoring of military activities, economic flows, and environmental changes worldwide. This infrastructure provides U.S. policymakers with a level of situational awareness that is difficult for competitors to replicate, enabling proactive decision-making and strategic foresight in both crisis management and long-term planning. The integration of space-based data with command, control, and intelligence systems has created a form of cognitive dominance that extends the influence of the United States well beyond traditional military capabilities, positioning space power as a fundamental pillar of national and international authority.

The U.S. model of space dominance, from a strategic logic perspective, represents a historical continuation of earlier "control of key domains" in the international system, yet it is structurally more complex and vulnerable. In the classical maritime model formulated by Alfred Thayer Mahan, control over sea lines of communication, superior fleets, and overseas bases translated geographic advantages into political power. Similarly, in the air power model, theorists such as Giulio Douhet emphasized control of the skies as a means of strategic striking and deterrence. In both cases, the operational domain was relatively bounded, observable, and based on tangible, defensible infrastructure.

In contrast, U.S. space dominance relies on a network of military, commercial, and dual-use satellites that provide critical functions such as C4ISR, navigation (GPS), early warning, precision targeting, and support for multi-domain operations. Whereas the sea was the "highway of trade and war" in Mahanian logic, in contemporary space logic, Earth orbit has become the "invisible networked infrastructure of power." As Everett C. Dolman notes in his astropolitik framework, control over key orbital points and technological superiority can be considered the geopolitical equivalent of controlling maritime chokepoints—with the important difference that space is far more fluid, technologically complex, and dependent on global supply chains.

However, this networked nature also creates the "Achilles' heel" of U.S. space hegemony. First, the U.S. military's heavy reliance on space assets makes it vulnerable to anti-satellite (ASAT) attacks, cyberattacks, and electronic disruption. Unlike naval fleets, which benefit from dispersion and depth of defense, satellites occupy predictable orbits with limited redundancy; destruction or disruption of part of the network can cascade across the entire power structure.

Second, the transition of the international system toward multipolarity diminishes the relative monopoly of the U.S. in space. Actors such as China and Russia are developing space and counterspace capabilities, raising the cost of maintaining superiority. Simultaneously, the fragmentation of legal norms and the absence of

strong binding regimes in space governance—despite frameworks such as the Outer Space Treaty—encourage creeping arms competition. This creates a classical security dilemma within a domain where transparency and verification are limited.

Third, the commercialization of space and the growing role of major private companies blur the line between state power and market capacity. While this provides the U.S. with technological agility and innovation, dependence on private infrastructure introduces new governance and security risks—from vulnerability to non-state attacks to potential conflicts between profit motives and national deterrence requirements.

Consequently, the U.S. model of space dominance, like historical maritime and air power models, rests on a combination of technological superiority, strategic doctrine, and institutional strength. Yet due to its networked, technologically sophisticated, and highly interdependent nature with the global economy, it is far more exposed to structural fragility and multi-level competition. This simultaneously renders space hegemony both a source of decisive advantage and a focal point of strategic vulnerability.

The United States has also leveraged its space capabilities to combine hard and soft power in a manner that exemplifies the concept of smart power. Satellite networks like GPS simultaneously serve military and civilian functions, enhancing precision in weapons guidance while providing essential services to global navigation, logistics, and digital communications. This dual-use characteristic allows the United States to project influence not only through coercive means but also by embedding technological dependency into the global economic and social fabric. The widespread reliance of states, corporations, and international organizations on U.S. space infrastructure generates a subtle yet pervasive form of normative and operational influence, reinforcing American strategic objectives without resorting to direct confrontation. This synergy between hard and soft instruments of power demonstrates how space has become an arena in which influence is exerted as much through enabling global connectivity and economic activity as through traditional military dominance.

Another key aspect of U.S. space power is its structural dimension. By controlling the technological and organizational frameworks that underpin space-based services, the United States has positioned itself at the center of an emerging global infrastructure critical to economic stability, security, and technological innovation. The integration of space-based data into global financial systems, supply chains, telecommunications, and environmental monitoring provides Washington with leverage over a wide spectrum of international processes. In effect, space power has evolved into a form of structural authority, allowing the United States to shape

the rules, norms, and functional dependencies that govern the global order. The capacity to regulate access to orbital infrastructures, control satellite services, and maintain a technological edge over potential competitors translates directly into increased geopolitical weight, as adversaries and partners alike remain reliant on U.S.-controlled platforms for essential services.

The institutionalization of space within the U.S. strategic apparatus further amplifies its impact. By establishing the U.S. Space Force and codifying space as a core component of national security doctrine, the United States has transformed space from a supportive operational domain into an independent and integral arena of strategic competition. This organizational focus signals to both allies and adversaries that control over orbital assets is not merely a technological advantage but a fundamental aspect of American defense policy. The elevation of space to a fully recognized operational theater reinforces the credibility of deterrence strategies, provides resilience against emerging threats, and underscores the centrality of space capabilities in shaping the broader balance of power. The institutionalization of space ensures that technological innovations are effectively operationalized and aligned with long-term strategic objectives, creating a durable foundation for sustained influence.

Competition in space has also led to the redefinition of global power relationships. The emergence of new entrants, such as China with its BeiDou navigation system and Russia with advanced anti-satellite capabilities, indicates that orbital dominance is increasingly contested. Despite these developments, the United States retains a range of structural and strategic advantages, including early technological development, extensive private-sector participation, and an expansive network of international alliances. These factors provide the U.S. with first-mover benefits and the ability to shape the technological and normative contours of space governance. As a result, while competitors challenge aspects of U.S. dominance, American space power continues to underpin its preeminent geopolitical position, allowing it to project influence across multiple domains simultaneously.

The normative and governance dimensions of space power further reinforce the United States' position. By actively participating in and shaping emerging international frameworks, the U.S. has sought to establish a rules-based order that aligns with its strategic interests. Initiatives that set standards for resource utilization, orbital traffic management, and international cooperation in space serve to institutionalize norms favorable to American priorities. This ability to define and influence governance regimes constitutes a form of soft power, ensuring that the structural and technological advantages of the United States are complemented by a legitimized framework of international practice. The combination of technical control and normative leadership amplifies

geopolitical weight by creating dependencies that extend beyond the purely material aspects of power.

Finally, the integration of space capabilities with the global digital economy demonstrates the breadth and depth of American influence. Private-sector companies engaged in satellite production, launch services, and constellation development create a dynamic ecosystem that enhances both economic competitiveness and strategic reach. The collaboration between government and industry has generated a model of technological innovation that not only sustains U.S. dominance but also diffuses influence throughout global markets. This interconnection between space infrastructure, commercial activity, and strategic policy illustrates that U.S. geopolitical weight is increasingly derived from the capacity to orchestrate complex, interconnected systems that underpin security, commerce, and governance on a planetary scale.

In synthesis, the findings indicate that U.S. space power contributes to its geopolitical weight through multiple interconnected mechanisms: establishing global informational and cognitive superiority, integrating hard and soft power into a unified strategic approach, creating structural dominance over critical infrastructures, institutionalizing space within national security frameworks, shaping international norms and governance regimes, and embedding space capabilities into the global economy. Collectively, these factors demonstrate that space power is no longer a peripheral tool but a central determinant of strategic influence. By controlling orbital assets, technological networks, and the rules governing their use, the United States secures a position of preeminence in global affairs. This multifaceted influence extends across military, economic, and normative domains, positioning space as a decisive arena in the contemporary distribution of power and underscoring the enduring significance of U.S. dominance in shaping twenty-first-century geopolitics.

---

## CONCLUSION

---

The comprehensive analysis of U.S. space power demonstrates that the United States has successfully transformed outer space from a peripheral technological domain into a central axis of its geopolitical influence. This transformation reflects a strategic vision in which space capabilities are not only instruments of military and economic advantage but also essential mechanisms for shaping global norms, dependencies, and the overall architecture of international relations. Through the deployment of advanced satellite networks, global positioning systems, and communication infrastructures, the United States has achieved a level of situational awareness and informational superiority that fundamentally alters the dynamics of power in the contemporary world. This superiority enables the United

States to anticipate global developments, respond rapidly to crises, and exert influence across multiple domains simultaneously, creating a cognitive and operational edge that is difficult for other actors to replicate or counterbalance.

In this context, space has become a domain in which the United States exercises a unique combination of hard, soft, and structural power, effectively integrating these dimensions to create a comprehensive framework of influence. Military capabilities in space, such as reconnaissance, early warning systems, and satellite-based intelligence collection, enhance national security and serve as instruments of coercive leverage when necessary. At the same time, the dual-use nature of space technologies, exemplified by navigation and communication systems that serve both civilian and military functions, allows the United States to embed itself within the global economy, infrastructure, and daily operations of other states. This dual functionality generates a form of influence that extends beyond direct coercion, as other nations and actors become dependent on American technological networks for critical services and economic stability. The resulting interconnectedness not only reinforces American strategic objectives but also produces a subtle normative effect, shaping expectations, behaviors, and institutional practices around U.S.-controlled systems.

Another crucial aspect of U.S. space power is its structural dimension, which allows the country to shape the rules, standards, and dependencies that define global interactions. By maintaining dominance over satellite technologies, launch capabilities, and orbital infrastructure, the United States occupies a central position within the emerging architecture of space-enabled global governance. This structural advantage creates a dynamic in which other states must navigate around or integrate with U.S.-controlled systems to ensure access to critical services, such as navigation, telecommunications, environmental monitoring, and financial transactions. This integration of space capabilities into the broader global system amplifies American influence and transforms technical superiority into a form of durable geopolitical weight. In effect, the United States has institutionalized space as a structural domain, embedding its presence and authority into the functional and normative frameworks that govern global interactions.

The institutionalization of space power within national strategy further solidifies its impact on the international order. By establishing dedicated institutions, doctrines, and strategic frameworks focused on space, the United States has ensured that technological innovations are operationalized within a coherent and sustainable policy context. This institutional framework not only enhances the effectiveness of space operations but also signals to other actors that space dominance is a long-term strategic priority for the United States. Such signaling serves

both as deterrence and as a benchmark for expectations in strategic competition, reinforcing the credibility of American capabilities and shaping the behaviors of allies and rivals alike. Through this process, space becomes an arena in which institutional coherence, technological sophistication, and strategic foresight converge to produce a level of influence that extends far beyond traditional metrics of power.

The competitive environment in space has also contributed to the enhancement of U.S. geopolitical weight by compelling other global actors to engage with American systems, standards, and practices. While the emergence of rival space powers introduces new dynamics and challenges, the United States maintains several decisive advantages, including early technological development, extensive networks of allied cooperation, and the integration of private-sector innovation into national strategic objectives. This combination ensures that even as competitors develop parallel capabilities, American dominance retains a significant edge in both operational and normative terms. The capacity to influence the evolution of space governance, the standardization of technologies, and the regulation of orbital activities underscores the centrality of U.S. space power in determining the rules and patterns of interaction in the twenty-first century.

The integration of private enterprise into the U.S. space strategy represents an additional mechanism for consolidating influence. Companies engaged in satellite manufacturing, launch services, and constellation development contribute not only to technological innovation but also to economic leverage and global strategic reach. This partnership between government and industry has created a resilient ecosystem in which technological advancement, economic interest, and strategic objectives are mutually reinforcing. By coordinating innovation, deployment, and regulatory compliance, the United States ensures that space capabilities are continuously updated, widely adopted, and deeply integrated into global networks. This integration enhances American influence by embedding it within the operational and economic frameworks upon which other nations rely, creating dependencies that extend beyond conventional military or economic power.

Moreover, the normative and governance dimension of space power has reinforced the United States' capacity to shape global behavior. By actively engaging in international agreements, collaborative frameworks, and standards-setting initiatives, the United States establishes norms and expectations that align with its strategic interests. This normative influence is critical because it allows the United States to maintain a degree of control over how space is used, regulated, and integrated into international systems without resorting to coercion. By setting the rules of the game, Washington not only

protects its technological advantages but also legitimizes its leadership role, creating a framework in which its influence is institutionalized and reinforced over time. The combination of technical capability, structural dominance, and normative authority constitutes a comprehensive mechanism through which the United States maintains and enhances its geopolitical weight.

The strategic advantages conferred by space power are also evident in the ability to project influence across multiple domains simultaneously. Space capabilities provide the United States with tools for military coordination, economic oversight, and normative leadership that interact synergistically to amplify overall strategic impact. For example, space-based surveillance and communication systems enhance operational coordination and military precision, while simultaneously supporting civilian infrastructures and economic networks that underpin global interdependence. This multifaceted influence allows the United States to shape both immediate operational outcomes and long-term strategic trends, positioning space as a decisive arena in which national power can be extended without direct confrontation.

In conclusion, the findings of this study highlight that space power has become a fundamental determinant of U.S. geopolitical weight, shaping the structure, norms, and operational realities of the international system. Through technological innovation, institutional development, strategic integration, and normative leadership, the United States has created a comprehensive framework of influence that extends across military, economic, and diplomatic domains. The interconnection of these dimensions ensures that American space power is durable, resilient, and adaptable to emerging challenges. By embedding its capabilities into global infrastructures, creating dependencies among states and institutions, and defining the rules and standards of space governance, the United States has transformed orbital superiority into a form of enduring global influence. This transformation underscores the centrality of space in contemporary geopolitics and demonstrates that U.S. dominance in this domain is not a transient advantage but a structural feature of the international order, shaping interactions, expectations, and strategic outcomes well into the future.

The study further emphasizes that the centrality of space to U.S. strategy is likely to grow as global interconnectivity, reliance on digital infrastructure, and competition among space-faring nations intensify. The strategic foresight embedded in American space policy ensures that technological leadership, institutional coherence, and normative influence continue to reinforce one another, producing a self-sustaining cycle of geopolitical advantage. The combination of operational capability, structural integration, and normative authority positions the United States to navigate emerging

challenges effectively while maintaining a commanding presence in the global system. In essence, space power functions as both a force multiplier and a stabilizing factor for U.S. influence, allowing the country to project strength, shape dependencies, and define the rules of engagement across multiple domains simultaneously. As such, the study concludes that mastery of space is not merely a technological or military achievement but a comprehensive strategic instrument that fundamentally underpins the geopolitical weight of the United States in the twenty-first century.

---

## REFERENCES

---

- Dolman, E. C. (2002). *Astropolitik: Classical geopolitics in the space age*. Frank Cass Publishers.
- Douhet, G. (2009). *The command of the air* (R. Dino Ferrari, Trans.). Air Force History and Museums Program. (Original work published 1921)
- Farrell, H., & Newman, A. L. (2019). Weaponized interdependence: How global economic networks shape state coercion. *International Security*, 44(1), 42-79. [https://doi.org/10.1162/isec\\_a\\_00351](https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00351)
- Herz, J. H. (1950). Idealist internationalism and the security dilemma. *World Politics*, 2(2), 157-180. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2009187>
- Hobe, S. (2019). Space law. In R. Wolfrum (Ed.), *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law*. Oxford University Press.
- Jervis, R. (1976). *Perception and misperception in international politics*. Princeton University Press.
- Johnson-Freese, J. (2017). *Space warfare in the 21st century: Arming the heavens*. Routledge.
- Joint Chiefs of Staff. (2020). *Joint all-domain command and control strategy*.
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (1977). *Power and interdependence: World politics in transition*. Little, Brown and Company.
- Lutes, C., & Hitchens, T. (2011). *Space power and national security*. National Defense University Press.
- Mackinder, H. J. (1904). The geographical pivot of history. *The Geographical Journal*, 23(4), 421-437. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1775498>
- Mahan, A. T. (1890). *The influence of sea power upon history, 1660-1783*. Little, Brown and Company.
- McDougall, W. A. (1985). *...The heavens and the earth: A political history of the space age*. Basic Books.
- Morgenthau, H. J. (1948). *Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*. PublicAffairs.
- Nye, J. S. (2011). *The future of power*. PublicAffairs.
- Oberg, J. (2019). *Space security and global politics*. Routledge.
- O'Hanlon, M. (2018). *The Senkaku paradox and U.S. space strategy*. Brookings Institution.
- Space Foundation. (2023). *The space report 2023: The annual assessment of the global space industry*. <https://www.spacefoundation.org>
- Strange, S. (1996). *The retreat of the state: The diffusion of power in the world economy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tellis, A. J. (2007). China's military space strategy. *Survival*, 49(3), 41-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00396330701564706>
- United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs. (1967). *Treaty on principles governing the activities of states in the exploration and use of outer space, including the moon and other celestial bodies*. United Nations.
- U.S. Department of Defense. (2020). *Summary of the 2020 defense space strategy: Sharpening the American military's competitive edge in space*. <https://www.defense.gov>
- Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of international politics*. Addison-Wesley.
- Wendt, A. (1999). *Social theory of international politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Weinzierl, M. (2018). Space, the final economic frontier. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 32(2), 173-192. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.32.2.173>