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Abstract
Violence is escalating rapidly, impacting on local communities, sparking dissensions and eventually, further tensions. The mass media, despite being a potent instrument to fighting terrorism and insecurity, also pose their own kind of challenges to national security, given that the mass media themselves sometimes are a form of threat to the security of nations and their peoples. Hinged on the Boomerang Effect theory, this study uses the Narrative Analysis methodology to discuss the role mass media play in the business of human security versus national security. It identifies espionage, propaganda, cultural imperialism, regulatory concerns, editorial manipulations, as well as the Internet as some of the threats. Terrorist groups including Islamic State in Syril, Islamic State in West Africa (ISWA), Boko Haram, Hamas and al-Qaeda use mediatised gadgets, e-mails and encryptions to support their operations. It is therefore recommended that media professionals must ensure systems protection and adequate regulation as well as adhere to their codes of ethics to ensure that they carry out their responsibilities for the ultimate good of society.
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INTRODUCTION
The threats of terror and terrorism have changed over time, although disagreement about their direction and scope persists. The threats are now more diverse and complex, and from both local and international perspectives, they are still as challenging as they are dangerous. Many countries of the world including Nigeria are battling with faces and phases of terror, as billions of dollars are spent on counter-terrorism measures. Regional and sub-regional military and diplomatic alliances are being formed. Marshal Plans are being worked and implemented with little or no tangible success. Violence is spreading to new regions, impacting on local communities, sparking radicalization and eventually, further violence (Jenkins, Liepman and Willis 2014). This paper seeks to underline different types of security threats posed to national security, particularly by activities of the mass media. The paper also treats the concept of terrorism as it pertains to human security cum national security. This work is guided by the assumption that despite being a potent instrument to fighting terrorism and insecurity, the mass media themselves appear to be a form of threat to the security of nations and their peoples. It also claims that terrorist organizations themselves appear to be experts in the use of media technology towards the planning and execution of their activities.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK
Analysing mass media’s threats to national security calls to mind the standpoint of communication and its interoperability with related concepts such as mass media, mediated messages, and impacts. This study is therefore anchored on the Boomerang effect theory. The Boomerang effect refers to unintended consequences of an attempt to persuade, resulting in the adoption of an unintended (opposing) position instead (Brehm & Brehm,
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1981). Hovland, Janis & Kelly (1953) explained some of the likely conditions under which a Boomerang effect is possible. These conditions include the following:

- When weak arguments are paired with negative sources. When weak or unclear persuasion leads the recipient to believe that the communicator is trying to convince them of a different position than what the communicator intends.

- When the persuasion triggers aggression or unalleviated emotional arousal. When the communication adds to the recipient’s knowledge of the status quo (norms), and increases conformity.

- When non-conformity to their own group results in feelings of guilt or social punishment. When the communicator is too far separated from the recipient’s position and thus produces a contrast effect and therefore enhances original attitude.

The Boomerang effect is seen reflected in diverse areas of communication such as consumer behaviour (Wendland and Schrader, 2007); persuasive health communication (Ringold, 2002); and deliberate exploitation also known as reverse psychology (Malyuga & Ottova, 2017).

LITERATURE REVIEW

In examining the topic for this paper, four concepts come out uniquely. These are mass media, terrorism, national security, and the threats to national security. It is therefore significant to first discuss these concepts as they will be used in this work. This study reviews a number of literature which bother on mass media, terrorism, national and human security as well as media versus national security.

Mass Media

Mass media, according to Danladi (2013) are channels of communication that involve transmitting information in some way, shaped or formed to address a large number of people (although the question of exactly how many is a large number has to be qualified, as a ‘mass’ is something that is generally left undefined) - it is one of those things that we know when we see it. A mass medium (such as television) is generally classified as one-to-many communication-‘one’ person (such as the author of a book, the creators of a television programme or a film director) communicates to many people (the audience) at the same time, in a way that is largely impersonal; that is, the communication is one-way, in the sense that those communicating a message to an audience do not receive simultaneous feedback from that audience. It also refers to newspapers, magazines, newsletters, just to mention a few.

Terrorism

Terrorism, as used in this paper, refers to the threatening of the viability of a nation-state, bringing about economic crises and social instability. It includes threats to tourism, energy-sector, civil aviation, maritime, and transportation, generally. The problem of terrorism has refused to go away; instead, it has kept people in perpetual fear, robbing them of their freedom and security. Thus, the world as a whole is voicing concern over the menace of terrorism, extremism and radicalism. No country goes unaffected by international terrorism. For these reasons, the global community can no longer turn a blind eye on terrorism (Hoffman, 2006).

Hoffman also found out that there are three common elements that exist in the legal definitions of terrorism of those countries: (1) the use of violence, (2) political objectives, and (3) the aim of propagating fear in a target population. Terrorism began to make headlines again in the 1970s and reached a pinnacle in the mid-1980s. From 1975 to 1984, the average number of reported terrorist attacks increased from ten per week to nearly ten every day (Hoffman, 2006).

National Security

National security is a state or condition where our most cherished values and beliefs, our democratic way of life, our institutions of governance and our unity, welfare and well-being as a nation and people are permanently protected and continuously enhanced.

This paper seeks to underline different types of security and the threats that are posed to national security particularly, by activities of the mass media. The paper also treats the concept of terrorism as it pertains to human security cum national security, as well as the mass media and their threats to national security. This work is guided by the assumption that despite being a potent instrument to fighting terrorism and insecurity, the mass media themselves appear to be a form of threat to the security of nations and their peoples. It also claims that terrorist organizations themselves appear to be experts in the use of media technology towards the planning and execution of their activities.

Nigeria’s national security borders on the protection of lives, rights, dignity and property of its citizen. It also means the protection of its resources, cultural integrity, territory, sovereignty and lawful institution of the country. The aim of national security therefore is to secure just and equitable living conditions for all the citizens of the country (Wali, 2003).

Human Security Threats


Media and National Security

The media’s outing whether in Iran, Afghanistan, Iraq, Vietnam or the Gulf war; and locally in Zaki Biam, Benue state; Ezilo in Ebonyi State; the sectarian crisis in Jos or the Bakassi-Cameroon saga to a very large extent, has been commended by Nigerians. This however, does not suggest that the media have performed perfectly. This, Ekpu (2010) identifies, is because of the myriad reported cases which in themselves are threats to national security such as espionage, propaganda, editorial manipulation, and regulatory issues.

Also, according to Paul & Tom (2006), mass media are the core influences of cultural imperialism on the Nigerian society. Nigerians especially the youth now imitate values of the western cultures aired by the media like trans-gender, sagging of trousers, drug addiction, rape, semi-nudity, and gangsterism. Such values have dangerous effects on the youths who accept the western values as a normal way of life. Citizens of the country indulge in these acts with so much confidence because it has precariously contaminated the traditional values of our country. The content the media disseminate to the society is as vital as media themselves, because it serves as a mirror to the society and the citizen of Nigeria at large. Cultural imperialism through the use of the media is seen as a threat to national security because national identity of smaller nations (under-developed) is threatened or lost due to media content from the bigger (developed) countries (Paul & Tom 2006).

Again, apart from unprofessionalism; propaganda; non-adherence to code of ethics, international humanitarian laws and provisions of the Geneva Convention in the reportage of security matters by the media, the non-coverage of other critical components of development like tourism reporting, and infrastructure reporting, are all threats to national security.

Nwolise (2010) opines that the media can also plead national security when carrying out a moral crusade against corruption, election rigging or looting of the nation’s treasury. This is because national security includes the security of the economic resources of the nation and security of the state power whose control must be determined by the popular votes of the masses in whom political sovereignty resides.

Therefore the proper understanding of national security is not something for the media or military alone as according to Yusuf (2010), national security can be a bottomless basket. This is because for a nation to be really secured, it does not only need a military might, an effective police and intelligence outfit, but most importantly, the economy and governance patterns must be in such a condition that the people will live a good life, happy and contented. Nwolise (2010) posits further that national security covers a wide range of issues such as: respect of fundamental human rights, national development, social justice, free press, human dignity, right to patriotic dissent, political equality, virile judiciary, responsible governance, adequate provision of basic amenities, adequate remuneration for media staff; and those should cover as social, political, economic, military and environmental security.

The fundamental role which the media play in the society at the national and international levels in developed and developing nations is globally acknowledged. They provide vital linkages between the government and the people (Adache, 2010). The media is a principal player in the arena of national security. They serve as a watchdog capable of blowing the whistle to call attention to serious national issues.

Despite the fact that the media have the above responsibility, this has not been effectively practised as the media in some cases have largely fallen under the influence of kingmakers, ethnic bias, pecuniary concerns, and religious bigotry (Danladi, 2013).

METHODOLOGY

The study uses a Narrative Analysis as its basic methodology. Narrative Inquiry or Analysis emerged as a discipline from within the broader field of qualitative research in the early 20th century.

Riessman (1993) states that Narrative Inquiry uses field texts such as stories, auto biographies, journals, field notes, letters, conversations, interviews, family stories, photos, life experiences and other forms of documentation to arrive at a reasonable and deductible conclusions. In this study, a number of literature have been carefully studied to arrive at the conclusions and recommendations. This paper analyses documented concepts such as espionage (Wali, 2003); propaganda (McQuail, 2003 & Omede, 2010); editorial manipulations (Obafemi, 2008 & Nwozor, 2014); the Internet (Lowenthal, 2012; Shahar, 2005; Franceschetti, 2011; and Weimann, 2005), Regulatory issues (Salomon, n.d), and cultural imperialism (Paul & Tom, 2006).

The Threats Defined

The media sometimes serve as a threat to security by way of espionage, by which a nation, organization or individual employs to procure information concerning the interest of another country to which they are not by law entitled. Journalists may be seeking for information that may pertain to the secret affairs of the target country in strategic areas including military, industries, politics, and technology. Other forms of threat to security may include propaganda, subversion, and sabotage (Wali, 2003).

Buttressing this fact, Danladi (2013) noted that in recent times, the Nigerian media tend to pose inherent dangers to national security in connection with political,
religious, ethnic, and economic issues power which are crucial to a stable and enduring democracy. He said this could be obvious when the media collaborates with the political and bourgeois class to further marginalize and exploit citizenry.

**Propaganda**

Propaganda is the deliberate, systematic attempt to shape perceptions, manipulate cognitions and direct behaviour to achieve a response that furthers the desired intent of the propagandist. “Propaganda can come in a range of types; from black (deceptive, frightening and unscrupulous) to white (soft and with a selective use of the truth). It is always carried out to further the interest of the propagandist, and “under conditions of information monopoly or severe ethnic conflicts, control of the media has often been used to foster hatred and mobilize population to violence” (McQuail 2003, p. 530). However, the mass media are now regarded as essential to successful war propaganda since they are the only channels guaranteed to reach the whole public and have the advantage of being regarded as trustworthy.

A classical case of the use of propaganda as a threat to national security was during the civil war. The impact of the Biafran propaganda on the federal government was not only extensive but also had far-reaching consequences, not only on how the civil war was conducted, but also impacted enormously on how the world reacted to the situation in Nigeria then (Omede, 2010).

The media may create new issues and new trouble spots. The media may not tell us what to think, but they do tell us what to think about. The capacity to define what is significant, what comprises a problem, what constitutes an issue, what poses a crisis, and what alternatives are available, resides with the media. The media lead in setting agenda, deciding news that is fit for print, of public interest, and information considered of ‘common good’. The media function as gatekeepers, by filtering the news and shaping the way it is reported. Even though the agenda-setting role of the media is being challenged by the convergent media, orchestrated by the massive and overwhelming activities of the ‘presuming’ audience: presumption being Henry Jenkins’s coinage referring to the capacity of audience to not only consume, but produce media contents as well; a situation galvanized by the rapidly increasing digitization of the mass media.

It is clear that in the international arena most especially, the major actors use the media as a source and tool of propaganda to promote some special and sometimes obscure interests in order to bring desired changes in the prevailing system. It is well known that the media have become a tool of American global agenda to influence the rest of the world for promoting its strategic interests in the post-9/11 age. According to Jenkins, Liepmann, and Willis (2014), the camera and the computer have become weapons of war. This new and awesome technology enables journalists to bring the ugly reality of war to both the belligerents and others around the world, serving as a powerful influence on public opinion and governmental attitudes and actions.

**Editorial Manipulations**

Similarly, Obafemi (2008) observes that one of the most significant attitudes of the press is the power of its editorial comments in influencing socio-political development since the colonial day. Contemporaneously, the media introduced feature writing and special project ads to deepen their agenda-setting role. Several factors which were rooted in the core interests of the Nigerian elite tended to determine the trajectory of the editorial slant of the various press establishments. Whether in the colonial or post colonial era, the media are owned by the elite and deployed principally to advance their interests within the society (Nwozor, 2014). Apart from the profit motive which motorizes investments into the ownership of media houses, a major critical motive for media ownership is its use as a negotiating chip in inter-elite power game. And to a large extent, editorial decisions are manipulated to achieve parochial goals. This is exemplified by the lack of unanimity in the editorial policies of the media organizations as their disposition oscillated between radicalism and conservatism. The ideological leaning of the various media organizations is underpinned by their overall socio-economic and political interest (Obafemi, 2008).

Because of the medley of elite cadres that unite to form political parties and their contending interests, party politics in Nigeria is essentially characterized by constant alignment and realignment of forces that tended to be centrifugal. The media, thus, serve as their joker to constantly manoeuvre their way into positions of influence and affluence. In all of these calculations; the masses are reduced to mere pawns in the chessboard of elite interests. Even the elite journalists have often converted their positions as a means to access (Nwozor, 2014).

Within national boundaries, the state has, in a number of ways, dispersed terror on the citizenry notwithstanding the form of government. The seeming balance of the commercialistic interest of the media and their social responsibilities has led to such contraptions as a special projects or features. Under this guise, certain illegalities such as first lady syndrome and the celebration of hollow rituals such as hundred (100) days in office where all manner of uncensored political ads chronicling dubious progress are fed to the people. Notwithstanding the disclaimers by the media organisations, this propaganda serves only the ruling elite (Nwozor, 2014).

To compound issues, the foreign media are often employed for greater effects, especially to deepen the legitimacy of the propaganda. The CNN has often been chosen to fill this gap. Since Nigeria’s return to democratic rule, it has aired several political adverts of state governments, often with trumped-up developmental
projects. And the rationalisation for the waste of resources which these pockets of propaganda represent has been anchored on the need to showcase the developmental strides within the states as a strategy to attract foreign direct investment. The tenure elongation agenda of the Obasanjo regime offers a good example. Its proponents used the CNN to advance its cause for two reasons: one, to consolidate the domestic misinformation of the people and two to orchestrate international misinformation (Nwozor, 2014).

The Internet as a Threat

Terrorism through the Internet is a major concern for national security. However, it is difficult to assess the actuality of the threats and track the entire network of members involved.

The Internet is a democratic institution where anyone is able to post absolutely anything they wish. This freedom the Internet offers creates quite the obstacle for an intelligence analyst, who must determine who they are - why they are saying this - is this person knowledgeable and credible - and do they have real motives (Lowenthal, 2012). However, the consequences of not regulating these threats are too high and dangerous to risk, which classifies this non-conventional terrorism as a low-risk/high-consequence threat (Shahar, 2005).

Modern terrorism has increasingly grown in importance through the Internet media, specifically following the events of 9/11 (Franceschetti, 2011 and Weimann, 2005). This growth has created the need for cyber-security.

In 2009, the US electric grid was penetrated by an unknown source. This grid covers three separate electric networks, covering the East, West, and Texas. These utilities are operated under Internet-based communication, causing it to be extremely vulnerable to hackers and spies. The intruder was never identified. Attacks such as these are after infrastructures, people, symbols, and information all driven by different motivations, making it extremely difficult to design specific countermeasures to address all of them (Franceschetti, 2011).

Today, with the growing importance of Internet communication and cyber-security technologies, Weapons of Mass Disruption which can disrupt or destroy electronic equipment, are also considered in this non-conventional terrorism category. Overall, these non-conventional methods can be categorized under the definition of terrorizing 'indirectly', making it even more difficult for responders to respond and attack the instigators (Shahar, 2005).

The terrorist organizations also use the Internet to reach out to their audience, without the need to use other media such as radio, television or holding various press conferences. Web pages are used as a way to highlight injustices and to seek support for so-called political prisoners who are illegally capture (Weimann, 2005).

Regulatory Issues

Article Nineteen (19) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) is explicit in its commitment to upholding the principle that the right to freedom of speech is guaranteed for all individuals regardless of ethnicity, religion, creed, gender or nationality. It is however important to stress that these freedoms come with responsibility (Salomon, n.d.).

From one point of view, the obligations outlined in Article 20 to prohibit hate speech are, in themselves, obligations on states to prohibit such speech in the media. Another view is that restrictions pursue a legitimate aim to protect public order, and yet another suggests that such speech infringes the rights of individuals to live with dignity, non-discrimination and equality.

National legal systems have make it clear, either explicitly or through authoritative interpretation that the term hatred refers to intense and irrational emotions of opprobrium, enmity and detestation towards a target group; the term advocacy is to be understood as requiring an intention to promote hatred publicly towards the target group; the term incitement refers to statements about national, racial or religious groups, which create an imminently risk of discrimination, hostility or violence against persons belonging to those groups; and the promotion by different communities, of a positive sense of group identity, does not constitute hate speech (UNDP, 2014).

Cultural Imperialism

Imperialism occurs when a strong nation takes over a weaker nation and dominates its economic, political or cultural orientation. Man is essentially the product of his culture as it beats and moulds a person into a particular shape. Culture makes one think, speak, dance and behave in a particular manner. Africa as a continent and Nigeria as a country have their cultures which are unique in their own respect (Paul & Tom, 2006).

The Internet, Global System of Mobile Communication (GSM) and satellite communication (Cable TV networks) are perhaps the most influential of all information and communication technologies (ICTs) in modern digital society. Even as it provides a podium for global societies and cultures to meet and interact, the digital age is not without inherent threats to the citizens of the country and incurring detrimental effects. African culture has been destroyed by Western civilization through the adoption of cultural imperialism by the media today. The mass media are the core influence of cultural imperialism on the Nigerian society. Nigerians especially the youth now imitate values of the western cultures aired by the media like trans-gender, sagging of trousers, drug addiction, rape, semi-nudity, and gangsterism. Such values have dangerous effects on the youths, who accept the Western values as a normal way of life. Citizens of the country indulge in these acts with so much confidence because it
has precariously contaminated the traditional values of our country. Other negative effects of cultural imperialism include gayism, violence semi-nudity, and - wait for this - terrorism (Paul & Tom, 2006).

CONCLUSION

Enthroning a regime of peace, stability and sustainable development that will decimate the emergence of terrorism and violent attacks can be achieved when governments push for the emergence of a virile, free, dynamic and constructively critical media that is ready to hoist the flag for the sustenance of the country’s democracy, and the elimination of corruption at all levels of government. This will eliminate the threats and disabuse the minds of the people that the notion created by leaders that the tarring of roads, building of bridges, provision of pipe borne water, and others which our present crop of politicians flaunt as dividends of democracy are at best, tokenistic. The real dividends of democracy are: security of human person, enjoyment of citizen’s human dignity, protection of human liberty and the articulation of the people’s real needs through good governance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Governments should stop seeing as a threat to national security media reports about corrupt government officials as there is a clear demarcation between regime security and national security.

Media professionals must devise engaging means of permanently shutting out quacks from infiltrating the profession to avoid the spate of insensitive media reportage that can negate national security concerns.

Nigerian security agencies and operations must desist from the use of brutality and executive lawlessness in addressing suspected cases constituting a breach to national security.

The national security question must be viewed as a function of all, including government, Armed Forces, Police, Intelligence Agencies, the media, Nigerian students, farmers and the general masses as a patriotic and sacred project.

Media professionals must always adhere to their codes of ethics to ensure that they carry out their responsibilities for the ultimate good of society.

Governments as a matter of urgency must evolve a framework for the regulation of the new media as this has the capacity of checking the abuse it suffers especially in the hands of terrorists.

It is recommended that, as citizens are spoiled with the exuberance positively. In order to protect our lives, safety and productivity, we must protect the critical systems upon which our economies and societies depend (Franceschetti, 2011).

Human security is important and one of the ways to ensure this is through youth empowerment and the creation of job opportunities that will engage their exuberance positively.
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