Prediction of Oil Formation Volume Factor Using an Intelligent Tool: Artificial Neural Network

Azubuike, I. I[1]; Ikiensikimama, S. S[1,*]

INTRODUCTION

Reservoir fluid behavior is one of the important parameters that needs to be understood for proper characterization of the fluids, material balance calculation, and economics of reservoir management. Among those PVT properties is the Oil Formation Volume Factor (FVF), which is defined as the volume of reservoir oil that would be occupied by one stock tank barrel oil plus any dissolved gas at the bubble point pressure and reservoir temperature. Precise prediction of FVF is very important in reservoir and production computations.

PVT properties are always determined experimentally based on actual samples collected from either well bore or at the surface. Such samples may be very expensive to obtain. In case where laboratory data are not available or unreliable[1, 2, 3] etc., derived the correlations to estimate the oil formation volume factor.

The correlations were developed using linear and non-linear regression or graphical techniques. The correlations are accurate within the range of data that were used to develop them[4].

To address the complexity and inaccuracy of the correlations, a new predictive tool was developed in this study to estimate the oil formation volume factor using artificial neural networks (ANNs). The ANNs are biologically inspired, non-algorithmic, non-digital, massively parallel distributive, adaptive information processing systems. They resemble the human brain in acquiring knowledge through learning process and in storing knowledge in interneuron connection strengths tasks[5, 6]. A new model was developed using 608 data sets: 372 sets were used to train the model, 118 sets to validate the relationship established during the training process, and another 118 sets were used to test the accuracy of the model.

The Theory that inspires neural network systems is drawn from many disciplines: primarily from neuroscience; engineering, and computer science;
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Abstract

The Oil Formation Volume Factor parameter is a very important fluid property in reservoir engineering computations. Ideally, this property should be obtained from actual measurements. Quite often, this measurement is either not available, or very costly to obtain. In such cases, empirically derived correlations are used in the prediction of this property. This work focuses on the use of an intelligent tool known as an artificial neural network (ANN) to address the inaccuracy of empirical correlations used for predicting oil formation volume factor. The new intelligent model was developed using 448 published data from the Middle East, Malaysia, Africa, North Sea, Mediterranean basin, Gulf of Persian fields and 160 data set collected from the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. The data set was randomly divided into three parts of which 60% was used for training, 20% for validation, and 20% for testing. Both quantitative and qualitative assessments were employed to evaluate the accuracy of the new intelligent model to the existing empirical correlations. The ANN intelligent model outperformed the existing empirical correlations by the statistical parameters used with a lowest rank of 0.6313 and better performance plot.
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secondarily from psychology, mathematics, and physics. These sciences are working toward the common goal of building intelligent systems. Artificial neural network initially grew from the full understanding of some ideas and aspects about how biological systems work, especially the human brain. Neural systems are typically organized in layers. Layers are made up of a number of interconnected nodes (artificial neurons), which contain activation functions. Patterns are presented to the network via the input layer, which communicates to one or more hidden layers where the actual processing is done through a system of fully or partially weighted connections (Figure 1). The hidden layers then linked to the output layer. Neural network contains some sort of learning rule that modifies the weights of the connections according to the input patterns.

They are also called parallel-distributed processing system, which depicts the parallel operations of the nodes or neurons in a network processing system. Sometimes they are referred to as adaptive system, because the values of these connections can change so that the network can perform more effectively and efficiently.

The advantage of ANN over the conventional correlations is that, neural networks have large degrees of freedom for fitting parameters, and thus, capture the systems’ non-linearity better than regression methods. They are also superior to the regression models in that they could be further trained and refined when additional data become available and hence improve their prediction accuracy. On the other hand, it is impossible to make any further change in a linear or non linear regression model as soon as a model development is over.

Many investigators recognized that the neural network can serve the petroleum industry to create more accurate PVT models. The first article use were developed using combination of 448 published data from the Middle East, Malaysia, Africa, North Sea, Mediterranean basin, Gulf of Persian fields and 1389 data set collected from the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. Models were developed for four different API gravity classes: heavy oils for API ≤ 21, medium oils for 21 < API ≤ 26, blend oils for 26 < API ≤ 35 and light oils for API > 35. This models performed better than conventional empirical correlation and the bubble point pressure. Their model was developed using 803 published data gathered from Malaysia, Middle East, Gulf of Mexico, and Colombia. They designed a three layer network; the input layer has four neurons covering the input data of gas-oil ratio, API gravity, relative gas density, and reservoir temperature; one hidden layer with five neurons and a single neuron for the formation volume factor in the output layer. This model showed a higher accuracy than the empirical correlations with an average percent error of 1.789%, a standard deviation of 2.2053% and correlation coefficient of 0.988.

A work based on neural network using Matlab 7.5 to predict both bubble point pressure, and oil formation volume factor with the aid of two separated networks. The data set was collected from Perry’s Chemical Engineers’ Handbook. Different training schemes for the back propagation learning algorithm, Scaled Conjugate Gradient (SCG), Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) and Resilient back Propagation (RP) methods were used. The accuracy and trend stability of the trained networks were tested. The LM algorithm with sixty neurons in the hidden layer proved to be the best suitable algorithm with the minimum Mean Square Error (MSE) of 0.000606. The ANN’s capability to estimate the PVT properties is one of the best estimating method with high performance.

Recently, publication was made on an artificial neural network models to predict oil formation volume factor for different API gravity ranges. The new models were developed using combination of 448 published data from the Middle East, Malaysia, Africa, North Sea, Mediterranean basin, Gulf of Persian fields and 1389 data set collected from the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. Models were developed for four different API gravity classes: heavy oils for API ≤ 21, medium oils for 21 < API ≤ 26, blend oils for 26 < API ≤ 35 and light oils for API > 35. This models performed better than conventional empirical correlation developed to predict the same fluid property.
Delta Field were not included in work who used data from references [14, 7]. Therefore, this study is centered on developing a general intelligent ANN model for predicting FVF, using published data from the Middle East, Malaysia, Africa (other countries expect Nigeria) and the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. This work will also, evaluate and compare the accuracy of the ANN intelligent model to those of the existing empirical correlations.

1. DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS

Data used for this work were collected from published sources and Niger-Delta region of Nigeria. The Niger-Delta data sets were validated and it was assumed that the published data was validated by the authors. After the validation of the Niger-Delta data and the combination of the published data, 608 data sets were gotten. The 608 data set comprises; 93 data set from, 195 data set from , 160 data set from and 160 data set from Niger-Delta. The data sets used in this work are similar to that used in expect that 160 data set from the Niger Delta data pool was used. This work differ from that of in that in this work a universal ANN model for oil FVF is sought for while that of is for different API classes. Each data set contains reservoir temperature, oil gravity, total solution gas oil ratio, average gas gravity, and oil formation volume factor. Of the 608 data points, 372 were used to train the ANN models, the remaining 118 to cross-validate the relationships established during the training process and 118 to test the model to evaluate its accuracy and trend stability. The description of training and test data are given in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

Table 1
Summary of the Maximum and Minimum Values of Training Data for Oil Formation Volume Factor Neural Network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>GOR scf/stb</th>
<th>Gas relative density, lb/ft³</th>
<th>API⁰</th>
<th>Temperature °F</th>
<th>FVF bbl/stb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>3298.66</td>
<td>1.789</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>341.0</td>
<td>2.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Summary of Maximum and Minimum Values of Test Data for Oil Formation Volume Factor Neural Network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>GOR scf/stb</th>
<th>Gas relative density, lb/ft³</th>
<th>API⁰</th>
<th>Temperature °F</th>
<th>FVF bbl/stb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1700.0</td>
<td>1.789</td>
<td>50.90</td>
<td>302.0</td>
<td>2.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>1.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. NEURAL NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

Matlab (7.5 version) neural network module was used to build the network using back-propagation algorithm with the Levenberg-Marquardt procedure for the optimization steps. Back propagation Neural Network (BPNN) is a multi-layered network, and information flows from the input to the output through at least one hidden/middle layer. Each layer contains neurons that are connected to all neurons in the neighboring layers. The connections have numerical values (weights) associated with them. During the training phase, the weights are adjusted according to the generalized delta rule. Training is completed when the network is able to predict the given output. A three layers network was used in this work. A Levenberg- Marquardt algorithm was used to train the three-layer network. The first layer consists of four neurons representing the input values of reservoir temperature, solution gas oil ratio, gas specific gravity and API oil gravity. The second (hidden) layer consists of 25 neurons, and the third layer contains one neuron representing the output values of the oil formation volume factor. The data were divided into two groups: training group (490 data sets) and testing group (118 data sets). The training group is further split into two groups: the first (372 data sets) was used to train the network; the second set (118 data sets) was used to test the error during the training, this is called cross validation.

Cross validation gives the ability to monitor the general performance of the network and prevent the network from over fitting the training data. In a BPNN, the input activity is transmitted forward while the error is propagated backwards. The neurons in the BPN use a transfer function that is sigmoid or S shaped. A key feature of the sigmoid function is that it has a minimum value of 0 and a maximum value of 1 and is differentiable everywhere with a positive slope. The derivative of the transfer function is required to calculate the error that is backpropagated and it is also easy to calculate.

3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE SCREENING

To compare the performance and accuracy of the new model to other empirical correlations, two forms of analysis were performed which include quantitative and qualitative. For quantitative screening method, statistical error analysis was used. The statistical parameters used for the assessment were percent mean relative error (E), percent mean absolute error (Eₐ), percent standard deviation relative (Sₐ), percent standard deviation absolute (S) and correlation coefficient (R).

For correlation comparison, a new approach of combining all the statistical parameters mentioned above
(E_r, E_a, S_r, S_a, and R) into a single comparable parameter called Rank was used. A brief description of the method follows. The use of multiple combination of statistical parameters in selecting the best correlation can be modeled as a constraint optimization problem with the function formulated as,

\[
\text{Min } Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} S_{i,j} q_{ij},
\]

subject to

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} S_{i,j} = 1
\]

with

\[
0 \leq S_{ij} \leq 1
\]

Where \( S_{ij} \) is the strength of the statistical parameter \( j \) of correlation \( i \), and \( q_{ij} \), the statistical parameter \( j \) corresponding to correlation \( i \). \( j = E_r, E_a, \ldots, R \), where \( R' = (1-R) \) and \( Z_i \) is the rank, RK (or weight) of the desired correlation. The optimization model outlined in equations 1 to 3 was adopted in a sensitivity analysis to obtain acceptable parameter strengths. The final acceptable parameter strengths so obtained for the quantitative screening are 0.4 for \( E_a \), 0.2 for \( R \), 0.15 for \( S_r \), 0.15 for \( S_a \), and 0.1 for \( E_r \). Finally, equation 1 was used for the ranking. The correlation with the lowest rank was selected as the best correlation for that fluid property. It is necessary to mention that minimum values were expected to be best for all other statistical parameters adopted in this work except \( R \), where a maximum value of 1 was expected. Since the optimization model (Equations 1 to 3) is of the minimizing sense a minimum value corresponding to \( R \) must be used. This minimum value was obtained in the form \((1-R)\). This means the correlation that has the highest correlation coefficient \( (R) \) would have the minimum value in the form \((1-R)\). In this form the parameter strength was also implemented to \((1-R)\) as a multiplier. Ranking of correlations was therefore made after the correlations had been evaluated against the available database.

For qualitative screening, performance plots were used. The performance plot is a graph of the predicted versus measured properties with a 45° reference line to readily ascertain the correlation’s fitness and accuracy. A perfect correlation would plot as a straight line with a slope of 45°.

4. TREND ANALYSIS

Several authors had put forth the argument that the development of new empirical PVT correlations should always be followed with a check for the trend of the correlation model against the physical laws. This is because correlations exist though performing well with the data set used for its development but, contradicted some of the physical laws or trend/patterns.

The trend analysis is to check ANN intelligent model against the physical laws. The following physical laws exist such that the oil FVF is an increasing function of reservoir temperature, gas gravity, solution gas oil ratio (GOR) and API gravity. For the trend test only one variable in the correlation was varied while the rest were held constant. Four points were selected, which covered the whole range of the reservoir properties. These values were taken as the means of the entire test data set grouped into four categories; heavy \((\text{API} \leq 21)\), medium \((21 < \text{API} \leq 26)\), blend \((26 < \text{API} \leq 35)\) and light \((\text{API} > 35)\) oil.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The trained ANN intelligent model was tested with 118 data points that were not previously used during training and validation. These data were randomly selected by the MATLAB tool to test the accuracy and stability of the model. The performance of the ANN intelligent model was compared with field data and the predictions from other empirical correlations such as references [1, 2, 21, 26, 19, 23]. These predictive correlations were carefully selected, having been developed specifically for the prediction of FVF in various regions that were considered in this study.

The results of the assessment as presented in Figure 2 gives statistical accuracies for all the oil FVF correlations examined. From this figure the ANN intelligent model ranked best with \( E_a \) of 0.9691 and correlation coefficient \( (R) \) of 0.9939.

Figure 2
Comparison of the Statistical Accuracy for Different Correlations
Figures 3-9 illustrated cross plots of the predicted versus experimental FVF values. A cross plot is a graph of predicted versus measured properties with a 45° reference line to readily ascertain the correlation’s fitness and accuracy. Compared to other cross plots, Figure 9 shows the most tight cloud of points around the 45° line indicating the excellent agreement between the experimental and the calculated data values. Again, this indicates the superior performance of the ANN intelligent model compared to other empirical correlations.
Figure 9
Cross Plot of ANN (This Study) Correlation

The model was found to be physically correct. Figures 10 to 13 showed that FVF increases with increase in reservoir temperature, solution gas oil ratio, gas gravity and oil API. The stability of the model indicated that the ANN intelligent model does not over fit the data, which implies that it was successfully trained.

Figure 10
Temperature Trend for Oil Formation Volume Factor

Figure 11
API Gravity Trend for Oil Formation Volume Factor

Figure 12
Gas Gravity Trend for Oil Formation Volume

Figure 13
Solution Gas-Oil Ratio Trend for Oil Formation Volume Factor

CONCLUSIONS

The newly developed artificial neural network intelligent model for predicting crude oil formation volume factor was found to be better than the empirical correlations. The new intelligent tool outperformed the existing correlation by the statistical parameters used. It also shows a lowest rank of 0.6313 and better performance plot as compared to the existing empirical correlations for those regions where the data was used.

The trend analyses performed on the developed network shows that the ANN intelligent Model obeyed the physical laws and also, does not over fit the data, which implies that it was successfully trained.
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